

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Revision No. 385 of 2019

Sabnam Bano	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand and others	...	...	Opp. Parties

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : None
For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Shailendra Jit, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, Advocate

06/21.03.2024

Counsel for the petitioner is absent.

2. This case arises out of complaint case and the petitioner is complainant/ victim. The impugned order has been passed arising out of the appellate order whereby the acquittal order passed by the trial court has been confirmed. The impugned order has been passed under section 372 of the Cr. P.C.
3. Counsel for the opposite party no. 2 to 4 are present.
4. Counsel for the State is also present.
5. They submit that there is no illegality or perversity in the impugned orders and they do not call for any interference.
6. Since the petitioner is absent, in order to give one more chance to the petitioner, post this case on 04.04.2024.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)