

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.2637 of 2026

Gyani Munda, son of Late Dhana Munda @ Ghana Munda, resident of Village Baghakudar, P.O. Sadam, P.S. Gola, District Ramgarh, Jharkhand.

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, Department of Revenue, Registration and Land Reforms, Government of Jharkhand, having its office at Project Building, P.O. & P.S. Dhurwa, District Ranchi.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Ramgarh, having office at Chattarmandu, P.O., P.S. and District Ramgarh.
4. The Additional Collector, Ramgarh, having office at Chattarmandu, P.O., P.S. and District Ramgarh.
5. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Ramgarh-cum-competent authority, P.O., P.S. and District Ramgarh.
6. The National Highway Authority of India (Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India) represented through the Project Officer, Implementation Unit, Kanhari Hill Road, P.O. Ramgarh, P.O. Ramgarh, P.S. Ramgarh, District Ramgarh.
7. The Regional Officer, Regional Office, National Highway Authority of India, having its registered office at C-129, Vidyalaya Marg, Road No.2/C, Ashok Nagar, P.O. Ashok Nagar, P.S. Argora, District Ranchi.
8. Vishwanath Matho, son of Tulsi Mahto, resident of Village Kedla, P.O. Kedla, P.S. Kedla, District Ramgarh, Jharkhand.

... .. **Respondents**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ramchander Sahu, Advocate.
 For the Respondent(s): Mr. Zaid Imam, AC to SC-VII

02/ 20.04.2026

By filing this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

"a. *For a direction upon the respondents to refer the dispute under section 3H (4) of National Highway Act, 1956 to the Principal Civil Court of original jurisdiction with regard to apportionment/ entitlement of the compensations in entirety over the*

acquired land in question pertaining to Plot No.132, 128, 125, 113/119 of Village Kamti, Ramgarh, Area 0.01841, 0.22000, 0.04999 and 0.4870 respectively.

- b. For a direction upon the respondents to recover the entire compensation amount paid to the respondent no.8 with regard to aforesaid acquisition without verifying the actual ownership and objection filed by the petitioner under National Highways Act simply on the opinion of Learned Government Pleader and the petitioner further prays for a direction upon the respondents to deposit the entire compensation amount before any Nationalized Bank during the pendency of the L.A. Reference Cases.”*

2. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner and learned counsel representing the respondents.

3. Learned counsel representing the respondents submits that final award has been prepared and the amount has been accepted by all the land losers. Further, the land losers have prayed for payment of enhanced amount, which had already been enhanced and the enhanced amount had also been paid. He further submits that the petitioner has not filed any objection, as there is nothing on record which can suggest any objection being raised by the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed an objection.

5. With the aforesaid rival submission, the question of fact arises, as the respondents submits that no objection has been filed by

the petitioner, whereas the petitioner claims that the objection has already been filed. This cannot be decided in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

6. The petitioner, if so advised, may file a fresh objection before the Authority concerned, who will proceed accordingly as per the provisions of the National Highways Act, 1956.

7. With the aforesaid observations, this writ petition stands **disposed of.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

20th April, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 08.05.2026