

Ramavatar Agrawal ... Appellant

Versus

The Bihar State Food and Civil supply corporation Ltd. & Ors.

... Respondents

For the Appellant : Mr. Prashant Pallav, Adv.
Mr. Ayush, Adv.
For the Respondents : None

1. It appears that in terms of order dated 06.12.2013, the notice was issued upon the respondent No.6. However, the notice was returned unserved with a report that respondent No.6 was not traced out. Thereafter, in terms of order dated 24.11.2021, learned counsel for the appellant was directed to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No.6. However, the notice was returned undelivered with a report that addressee could not be located. Again, in terms of orders dated 10.10.2022 and 12.11.2024, appellant was directed to file fresh requisites for service of notice upon the respondent No.6. However, the notice was returned undelivered with a report of insufficient address. Thereafter, in terms of order dated 17.06.2025, the appellant was directed to furnish present and correct address of respondent No.6 for service of notice upon her but the same has not been filed.

2. In view of the aforesaid, the learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that he may be allowed to take substituted service of notice through paper publication.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission, learned counsel for the appellant is directed to take steps for issuance of notice upon respondent No.6 at his last residing address through paper publication in a widely circulated newspaper including Hindi and Vernacular language, if any.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant is further directed to furnish the draft notice in the office within a period of one week after the same

being verified, he/she will collect the same for its publication and thereafter, supplementary affidavit shall be filed enclosing therewith copy of paper publication.

5. List this case on 21.08.2025.

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Sachin