

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F. A. No. 143 of 2012

Kripa Shankar Jaiswal & Ors. Appellants(s)

Versus

Sri Kunal & Ors. Respondent(s)

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner (s) : Mr. Rahul Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Resp.(s) : Mr. Om Prakash Tiwari, Advocate
M/s Sheo Kumar Singh,
Baban Prasad, Advocates.

27/ 06.05.2025. Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellants that vide order dated 15.04.2025, direction was given for service of notice on the point of condonation of delay for substituting the proposed legal heirs of the appellant [Kripa Shankar Jaiswal] who died during pendency of the instant First Appeal.

It is submitted in this regard that since the respondents have already appeared and filed their vakalatnama and they can be heard on the point of delay in substitution and, therefore, direction for service of notice may be dispensed with.

On perusal of the record of the case, I find that vakalatnama has already been filed on behalf of the respondents and Mr. Om Prakash Tiwari, learned counsel has already put appearance on their behalf.

Accordingly, the requirement of service of notice upon the respondents is dispensed with.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that respondent No.3 has died and in this regard, informatory petition has been filed.

In this regard, learned counsel for the appellant(s) is directed to take appropriate steps.

List this case on 20.06.2025.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Sandeep/