

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**B.A. No. 3022 of 2026**

Sanjay Madaiya @ Chunnu Madaiya, son of Babulal Madaiya

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. **Opp. Party**

---

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY**

---

For the Petitioner

: Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate

For the Opp. Party

: Mr. Naveen Kumar Ganjhu, Advocate

---

**04/12<sup>th</sup> May 2026**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 29.07.2025 in connection with S.T. Case No. 217/2025 arising out of Littipara P.S. Case No. 42/2025 corresponding to G.R. No. 812/2025 for the offence registered under Section 103(1)/303(2) of BNS, now said to have been pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge at Pakur.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and there is enormous delay in filing the FIR. The alleged date of incident is 23.07.2025 and the informant expired on 26.07.2025 during the course of treatment.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite party has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner, who happens to be the son-in-law of the informant and he is the named accused in the case.
4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the direct allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence, this bail application is rejected.
5. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

**(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)**

*Dated: 12.05.2026*

*Uploaded On: 13.05.2026*

*Mukul/-*