

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 1913 of 2026

- 1.** Laljeet Bhuian @ Laljee Bharti aged about 40 yrs. son of Sukan Bhuian, resident of Village Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District - Chatra.
- 2.** Dileep Bhuiyan aged about 35 yrs. son of Nanku Bhuian, resident of Village Sardam, PO and PS Bashishth Nagar(Jori), District - Chatra.
- 3.** Upendra Bhuian aged about 44 yrs. son of Rajgir Bhuian, resident of Village Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District – Chatra
- 4.** Brahmdeo Bhuian aged about 40 years, son of Mahabir Bhuian, resident of Village Sardam, PO and PS Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District Chatra
- 5.** Bhola Ganjhu aged about 40 yrs. son of Mahagu Ganjhu, resident of Village Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District - Chatra.
- 6.** Jhari Ganjhu aged about 60 yrs. son of Sukhlal Ganjhu, resident of Village Sardam, PO and PS Bashishth Nagar(Jori), District-Chatra
- 7.** Vilesh Bhuian aged about 55 yrs. son of Rampati Bhuian, resident of Village Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District - Chatra.
- 8.** Maneshi Bhuian aged about 52 yrs. son of Late Kail Bhuian, resident of Village - Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District - Chatra.
- 9.** Chanerak Bhuian @ Chandrika Bhuian aged about 40 yrs. son of Late Bagai Bhuian, resident of Village - Sardam, P.O. & P.S. Bashishth Nagar (Jori), District - Chatra

.... Petitioners**-- Versus --**

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:-	Mr. Sushant Kr Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. B.N. Ojha, Advocate

2/29.04.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioners and for State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.75 of 2024, for offence registered under section 33(1)(C) of Indian Forest Act, pending in court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chatra.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioners have nothing to do with the cultivation of poppy plant and the allegations are made of doing cultivation of poppy plants on the forest land. He next submits that the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in paragraph no.18.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that the allegations are there of cultivation of poppy plant.

5. Considering that the land is of the forest department and is not belonging to the petitioners and the petitioners are having no criminal antecedent, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners, above named, are hereby directed to surrender before learned court within two weeks from today, and in event of their surrender/arrest, the petitioners, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chatra, in connection with Complaint Case No.75 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

29.04.2026
SI/