

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 367 of 2018

Union of India

... Appellant

Versus

Virendra Mahto and Anr.

... Respondents

With

F.A. No. 192 of 2014; F.A. No. 193 of 2014; F.A. No. 194 of 2014;
F.A. No. 195 of 2014; F.A. No. 196 of 2014; F.A. No. 197 of 2014;
F.A. No. 198 of 2014; F.A. No. 199 of 2014; F.A. No. 200 of 2014;
F.A. No. 201 of 2014; F.A. No. 202 of 2014; F.A. No. 203 of 2014;
F.A. No. 204 of 2014; F.A. No. 205 of 2014; F.A. No. 206 of 2014;
F.A. No. 207 of 2014; F.A. No. 208 of 2014; F.A. No. 209 of 2014;
F.A. No. 210 of 2014; F.A. No. 211 of 2014; F.A. No. 212 of 2014;
F.A. No. 213 of 2014; F.A. No. 214 of 2014; F.A. No. 215 of 2014;
F.A. No. 216 of 2014; F.A. No. 217 of 2014; F.A. No. 218 of 2014;
F.A. No. 219 of 2014; F.A. No. 220 of 2014; F.A. No. 221 of 2014;
F.A. No. 222 of 2014; F.A. No. 223 of 2014; F.A. No. 224 of 2014;
F.A. No. 225 of 2014; F.A. No. 226 of 2014; F.A. No. 227 of 2014;
F.A. No. 228 of 2014; F.A. No. 229 of 2014; F.A. No. 230 of 2014;
F.A. No. 231 of 2014; F.A. No. 232 of 2014; F.A. No. 233 of 2014;
F.A. No. 234 of 2014; F.A. No. 235 of 2014; F.A. No. 236 of 2014;
F.A. No. 237 of 2014; F.A. No. 238 of 2014; F.A. No. 239 of 2014;
F.A. No. 240 of 2014; F.A. No. 241 of 2014; F.A. No. 242 of 2014;
F.A. No. 243 of 2014; F.A. No. 244 of 2014; F.A. No. 245 of 2014;
F.A. No. 246 of 2014; F.A. No. 247 of 2014; F.A. No. 248 of 2014;
F.A. No. 249 of 2014; F.A. No. 250 of 2014; F.A. No. 251 of 2014;
F.A. No. 252 of 2014; F.A. No. 253 of 2014; F.A. No. 254 of 2014;
F.A. No. 255 of 2014; F.A. No. 256 of 2014; F.A. No. 257 of 2014;
F.A. No. 258 of 2014; F.A. No. 259 of 2014; F.A. No. 260 of 2014;
F.A. No. 261 of 2014; F.A. No. 262 of 2014; F.A. No. 263 of 2014;
F.A. No. 264 of 2014; F.A. No. 265 of 2014; F.A. No. 266 of 2014;
F.A. No. 267 of 2014; F.A. No. 268 of 2014; F.A. No. 269 of 2014;
F.A. No. 270 of 2014; F.A. No. 271 of 2014; F.A. No. 272 of 2014;
F.A. No. 273 of 2014; F.A. No. 274 of 2014; F.A. No. 275 of 2014;
F.A. No. 276 of 2014; F.A. No. 277 of 2014; F.A. No. 278 of 2014;
F.A. No. 279 of 2014; F.A. No. 280 of 2014; F.A. No. 281 of 2014;
F.A. No. 282 of 2014; F.A. No. 283 of 2014; F.A. No. 284 of 2014;
F.A. No. 285 of 2014; F.A. No. 286 of 2014; F.A. No. 287 of 2014;
F.A. No. 288 of 2014; F.A. No. 289 of 2014; F.A. No. 290 of 2014;
F.A. No. 291 of 2014; F.A. No. 292 of 2014; F.A. No. 293 of 2014;
F.A. No. 294 of 2014; F.A. No. 295 of 2014; F.A. No. 296 of 2014;
F.A. No. 297 of 2014; F.A. No. 298 of 2014; F.A. No. 299 of 2014;
F.A. No. 300 of 2014; F.A. No. 301 of 2014; F.A. No. 302 of 2014;
F.A. No. 303 of 2014; F.A. No. 304 of 2014; F.A. No. 305 of 2014;

2

3

**F.A. No. 486 of 2018; F.A. No. 487 of 2018; F.A. No. 489 of 2018;
F.A. No. 491 of 2018; F.A. No. 492 of 2018**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the UOI	: Mr. Anil Kumar, ASGI
	: Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC
For the State	: Mukul Kumar Singh, Advocate
	[FA No. 192 of 2014]
	: Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate
	[FA No. 465 of 2018]
	: M/s Suman Marandi, Advocate
	[FA No. 479 of 2018]
	: Mr. Krishna Kumar Bhatt, Advocate
	[FA No. 367 of 2018]

10/6th May 2025

1. As per office note, notices were issued in F.A. No. 367 of 2018 to the private respondents. Some of them have been personally served and some of them have been served through their family members and service report with regard to notice through ordinary process upon rest of the respondents have not been received.
2. The notices which have been personally served and the notices which have been served through their family members are accepted as validly served.
3. Office is directed to send express reminder to the concerned court for sending service report in connection with the remaining respondents.
4. The express reminder should be sent within a period of one week from today even if the period granted by this Court expires during the Summer Vacation, 2025.
5. Service report with regard to remaining respondents be sent to this Court latest by 06.06.2025.
6. Post these cases on 13.06.2025.
7. Office is also directed to prepare appropriate office note in connection with those who have entered appearance by filing

Vakalatnama and also the list of persons to whom notice could not be served.

8. Office is also directed to prepare a chart showing the award against which each appeal has been filed by the private respondents with the names and other details of the concerned appellants and also indicate the details of the private respondent (if any) in each case .

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Mukul