

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 367 of 2018

Union of India	...	...	Appellant
	Versus		
Virendra Mahto and Anr.	...	...	Respondents
With			

F.A. No. 192 of 2014; F.A. No. 193 of 2014; F.A. No. 194 of 2014; F.A. No. 195 of 2014; F.A. No. 196 of 2014; F.A. No. 197 of 2014; F.A. No. 198 of 2014; F.A. No. 199 of 2014; F.A. No. 200 of 2014; F.A. No. 201 of 2014; F.A. No. 202 of 2014; F.A. No. 203 of 2014; F.A. No. 204 of 2014; F.A. No. 205 of 2014; F.A. No. 206 of 2014; F.A. No. 207 of 2014; F.A. No. 208 of 2014; F.A. No. 209 of 2014; F.A. No. 210 of 2014; F.A. No. 211 of 2014; F.A. No. 212 of 2014; F.A. No. 213 of 2014; F.A. No. 214 of 2014; F.A. No. 215 of 2014; F.A. No. 216 of 2014; F.A. No. 217 of 2014; F.A. No. 218 of 2014; F.A. No. 219 of 2014; F.A. No. 220 of 2014; F.A. No. 221 of 2014; F.A. No. 222 of 2014; F.A. No. 223 of 2014; F.A. No. 224 of 2014; F.A. No. 225 of 2014; F.A. No. 226 of 2014; F.A. No. 227 of 2014; F.A. No. 228 of 2014; F.A. No. 229 of 2014; F.A. No. 230 of 2014; F.A. No. 231 of 2014; F.A. No. 232 of 2014; F.A. No. 233 of 2014; F.A. No. 234 of 2014; F.A. No. 235 of 2014; F.A. No. 236 of 2014; F.A. No. 237 of 2014; F.A. No. 238 of 2014; F.A. No. 239 of 2014; F.A. No. 240 of 2014; F.A. No. 241 of 2014; F.A. No. 242 of 2014; F.A. No. 243 of 2014; F.A. No. 244 of 2014; F.A. No. 245 of 2014; F.A. No. 246 of 2014; F.A. No. 247 of 2014; F.A. No. 248 of 2014; F.A. No. 249 of 2014; F.A. No. 250 of 2014; F.A. No. 251 of 2014; F.A. No. 252 of 2014; F.A. No. 253 of 2014; F.A. No. 254 of 2014; F.A. No. 255 of 2014; F.A. No. 256 of 2014; F.A. No. 257 of 2014; F.A. No. 258 of 2014; F.A. No. 259 of 2014; F.A. No. 260 of 2014; F.A. No. 261 of 2014; F.A. No. 262 of 2014; F.A. No. 263 of 2014; F.A. No. 264 of 2014; F.A. No. 265 of 2014; F.A. No. 266 of 2014; F.A. No. 267 of 2014; F.A. No. 268 of 2014; F.A. No. 269 of 2014; F.A. No. 270 of 2014; F.A. No. 271 of 2014; F.A. No. 272 of 2014; F.A. No. 273 of 2014; F.A. No. 274 of 2014; F.A. No. 275 of 2014; F.A. No. 276 of 2014; F.A. No. 277 of 2014; F.A. No. 278 of 2014; F.A. No. 279 of 2014; F.A. No. 280 of 2014; F.A. No. 281 of 2014; F.A. No. 282 of 2014; F.A. No. 283 of 2014; F.A. No. 284 of 2014; F.A. No. 285 of 2014; F.A. No. 286 of 2014; F.A. No. 287 of 2014; F.A. No. 288 of 2014; F.A. No. 289 of 2014; F.A. No. 290 of 2014; F.A. No. 291 of 2014; F.A. No. 292 of 2014; F.A. No. 293 of 2014; F.A. No. 294 of 2014; F.A. No. 295 of 2014; F.A. No. 296 of 2014; F.A. No. 297 of 2014; F.A. No. 298 of 2014; F.A. No. 299 of 2014; F.A. No. 300 of 2014; F.A. No. 301 of 2014; F.A. No. 302 of 2014; F.A. No. 303 of 2014; F.A. No. 304 of 2014; F.A. No. 305 of 2014; F.A. No. 306 of 2014; F.A. No. 307 of 2014; F.A. No. 308 of 2014; F.A. No. 309 of 2014; F.A. No. 310 of 2014; F.A. No. 311 of 2014; F.A. No. 313 of 2014; F.A. No. 314 of 2014; F.A. No. 315 of 2014;

F.A. No. 471 of 2014; F.A. No. 472 of 2014; F.A. No. 473 of 2014;
F.A. No. 474 of 2014; F.A. No. 475 of 2014; F.A. No. 476 of 2014;
F.A. No. 477 of 2014; F.A. No. 478 of 2014; F.A. No. 479 of 2014;
F.A. No. 480 of 2014; F.A. No. 481 of 2014; F.A. No. 482 of 2014;
F.A. No. 483 of 2014; F.A. No. 484 of 2014; F.A. No. 485 of 2014;
F.A. No. 486 of 2014; F.A. No. 487 of 2014; F.A. No. 488 of 2014;
F.A. No. 489 of 2014; F.A. No. 490 of 2014; F.A. No. 491 of 2014;
F.A. No. 492 of 2014; F.A. No. 493 of 2014; F.A. No. 494 of 2014;
F.A. No. 495 of 2014; F.A. No. 496 of 2014; F.A. No. 497 of 2014;
F.A. No. 498 of 2014; F.A. No. 499 of 2014; F.A. No. 500 of 2014;
F.A. No. 501 of 2014; F.A. No. 502 of 2014; F.A. No. 503 of 2014;
F.A. No. 504 of 2014; F.A. No. 505 of 2014; F.A. No. 506 of 2014;
F.A. No. 507 of 2014; F.A. No. 508 of 2014; F.A. No. 509 of 2014;
F.A. No. 510 of 2014; F.A. No. 511 of 2014; F.A. No. 512 of 2014;
F.A. No. 513 of 2014; F.A. No. 514 of 2014; F.A. No. 515 of 2014;
F.A. No. 516 of 2014; F.A. No. 517 of 2014; F.A. No. 518 of 2014;
F.A. No. 519 of 2014; F.A. No. 520 of 2014; F.A. No. 521 of 2014;
F.A. No. 522 of 2014; F.A. No. 523 of 2014; F.A. No. 524 of 2014;
F.A. No. 525 of 2014; F.A. No. 526 of 2014; F.A. No. 527 of 2014;
F.A. No. 528 of 2014; F.A. No. 529 of 2014; F.A. No. 530 of 2014;
F.A. No. 531 of 2014; F.A. No. 532 of 2014; F.A. No. 533 of 2014;
F.A. No. 534 of 2014; F.A. No. 535 of 2014; F.A. No. 536 of 2014;
F.A. No. 537 of 2014; F.A. No. 538 of 2014; F.A. No. 539 of 2014;
F.A. No. 540 of 2014; F.A. No. 541 of 2014; F.A. No. 542 of 2014;
F.A. No. 543 of 2014; F.A. No. 544 of 2014; F.A. No. 545 of 2014;
F.A. No. 546 of 2014; F.A. No. 547 of 2014; F.A. No. 548 of 2014;
F.A. No. 549 of 2014; F.A. No. 550 of 2014; F.A. No. 551 of 2014;
F.A. No. 552 of 2014; F.A. No. 553 of 2014; F.A. No. 554 of 2014;
F.A. No. 555 of 2014; F.A. No. 556 of 2014; F.A. No. 557 of 2014;
F.A. No. 558 of 2014; F.A. No. 559 of 2014; F.A. No. 560 of 2014;
F.A. No. 561 of 2014; F.A. No. 562 of 2014; F.A. No. 563 of 2014;
F.A. No. 564 of 2014; F.A. No. 565 of 2014; F.A. No. 451 of 2018;
F.A. No. 455 of 2018; F.A. No. 456 of 2018; F.A. No. 459 of 2018;
F.A. No. 463 of 2018; F.A. No. 464 of 2018; F.A. No. 465 of 2018;
F.A. No. 466 of 2018; F.A. No. 467 of 2018; F.A. No. 468 of 2018;
F.A. No. 469 of 2018; F.A. No. 470 of 2018; F.A. No. 471 of 2018;
F.A. No. 472 of 2018; F.A. No. 473 of 2018; F.A. No. 474 of 2018;
F.A. No. 475 of 2018; F.A. No. 477 of 2018; F.A. No. 479 of 2018;
F.A. No. 480 of 2018; F.A. No. 482 of 2018; F.A. No. 484 of 2018;
F.A. No. 486 of 2018; F.A. No. 487 of 2018; F.A. No. 489 of 2018;
F.A. No. 491 of 2018 & F.A. No. 492 of 2018

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant-UIO : Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC
: Mr. Shashank Kumar, AC to CGC
[in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For Appellants(claimants): Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate
[in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous

cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For the State	: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, AC to SC (L&C)-II : Mr. Sachin Kumar, AC to SC-I : Mr. Mukul Kr. Singh, AC to GP-III
For the Resp. -UOI	: Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC : Mr. Shashank Kumar, AC to CGC [in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]
For the Respondents	: Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate [in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]
For the Respondents	: Mr. Prabhat Kr. Sinha, Advocate : Mr. Sahibjot Singh, Advocate [in FA No. 203 of 2014]

24/31.10.2025

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

I.A. No. 14580 of 2025 in F.A. No. 367 of 2018

2. This interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the appellant during the course of hearing, which is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that there has been some inadvertent error while filing I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 regarding substitution of certain respondents which has been allowed by this Court vide order dated 25.09.2025. The learned counsel submits that through this interlocutory application, the appellant seeks certain corrections of the inadvertent mistakes. He submits that this petition has been filed when the counsel for the respondents has pointed out the errors which happened after disposal of the said interlocutory application being I.A. No. 13505 of 2025.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant has further submitted that the errors have been pointed out in paragraphs 5 to 9 of the interlocutory application which are quoted as under:

“5. That it is humbly submitted that in IA No. 13505/2025 it has wrongly been stated in para 38 that respondent no. 97, 98, 188 and 252 died and her legal heirs have already been made party respondent in present memo and as such her name may be deleted. In fact the deceased namey Savitri Devi Jaiswal W/o late Uma Shankar Jaiswal has died leaving behind two sons and three daughters namely Chittaranjan Jaiswal, Pradeep Kumar Jaiswal, Purnima Choudhary (W/o Late Randhir Prasad

Choudhary), Pratima Choudhary (w/o Manmohan Choudhary) and Kumkum Prasad (W/o Ram Kuber Prasad). Said S/o Late Uma Shankar Jaiswal has also died leaving behind wife and two sons namely Most. Shashi Jaiswal, Sumit Jaiswal and Vineet Jaiswal as legal representatives.

6. That it is stated that in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 in para no. 13 it has wrongly been stated that Respondent namely Jagarnath Mahto S/o Late Sannu Mahto at Respondent no. 491(a) has died on 05.03.2018 leaving behind three sons namely Arjun Mahto, Kalicharan Mahto and Krishna Mahto as legal representative. In fact, Said Krishna Mahto has also died leaving behind his wife namely Most. Sulo Devi as legal representative.

7. That it is stated that in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 in para no. 52 it has wrongly been mentioned as Pawan Mahto which may be corrected as Pawan Kumar.

8. That it is stated that in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 in para no. 60 it has wrongly been mentioned as Most. Nagri Gari instead of Most. Mangri Gari which may be allowed to be corrected.

9. That it is stated that in para 76, 77 and 80 of I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 instead of respondent no. 598 (b), 598 (d) and 598 (p) respectively 599 (b), 599 (d) and 598 (p) has been mentioned which may be allowed to be corrected as 598 (b), 598 (d) and 598 (p).”

5. The learned counsel has further submitted that so far as Savitri Devi Jaiswal is concerned, she has been arrayed as party as respondent nos. 97, 98, 188 and 252 in respect to different awards involved in the present case and the learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the legal representatives of Savitri Devi Jaiswal are already on record and only her name is required to be deleted.

6. With respect to respondent no. 491(a), it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the respondents that Jagarnath Mahto had expired on 05.03.2018 and thereafter, name of three legal representatives has been mentioned, out of them Krishna Mahto has also expired leaving behind his wife Most. Sulo Devi as his legal heirs. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that he has received instructions on behalf of Sulo Devi to appear in the present

case and he undertakes to file *Vakalatnama* on behalf of Sulo Devi. He has no objection so far as the interlocutory application seeking correction of the inadvertent errors made in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 is concerned.

7. Considering the aforesaid submissions and the inadvertent errors which have been pointed out through this interlocutory application, the present interlocutory application is allowed and the learned counsel for the appellant is permitted to carry out the necessary corrections in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025.

8. *The order passed in I.A. No. 13505 of 2025 dated 25.09.2025 is also modified accordingly and is to be read with this order.*

I.A. No. 14553 of 2025 in F.A. No. 367 of 2018

9. This petition has been filed for substitution of deceased respondents no. 246 (d), 246 (e), 246 (f), 496 (a), 455, 451, 499, 533, 310 (b), 468 (b), 500 (b), 149, 149 (a), 149 (b), 159, 56, 56 (g), 56 (i), 58 (d), 59 (a), 59 (i), 83 (e), 561, 600, 600 (d), 590 (b), 562, 562 (b), 562 (e), 564, 592, 568, 568 (a), 568 (b), 596 (a), 596 (d), 601 (i), 403, 116 (a), 118 (a), 102, 117(a), 272, 235 (a), 542, 151, 330, 404, 234(a), 209 (a), 554, 553, 610, 605, 311 (a), 317 (a), 318, 318 (e), 318 (f), 319, 319 (e), 319 (f), 492, 325, 326, 327, 442, 442 (b), 333 (b), 337 (d), 400 (c), 400 (d), 502, 503 (c), 503 (d), 342, 361, 364, 364 (a), 364 (c), 368, 368 (a), 376 (c), 376 (d), 377 (b), 377 (c), 377 (k), 370, 421, 421 (a), 421 (h), 373 (a), 373 (c), 393, 393 (a), 393 (b), 393 (c), 471, 471 (a), 471 (b), 471 (c), 494, 494 (a), 494 (b), 494 (c), 507, 507 (a), 507 (b), 507 (c), 396, 396 (a), 396 (b), 396 (c), 401, 401 (e), 401 (f), 410, 410 (d), 459, 459 (d), 413, 418, 418 (b), 424 (b), 425 (b), 425 (h), 425 (j), 425 (l), 426 (j), 501 (a), 501 (c), 501 (e), 431, 431 (b), 436, 240, 444, 444 (a), 445, 458, 462, 462 (b), 473, 474 (c), 477 (c), 490 (a), 354, 380, 380 (b), 380 (c), 380 (d), 380 (h), 381, 381 (b), 381 (c), 381 (d), 381 (h), 382, 382 (b), 382 (c), 382 (d), 382 (h), 323, 323 (b), 323 (e), 323 (q), 514, 514 (b), 514 (c), 514 (q), 516, 516 (a), 517, 517 (a), 518, 518 (a), 522, 12, 12 (f), 90, 550 (f), 551 (f), 552 (f), 575 (f), 576 (f), 577 (f), 612 (f), 603 (f), 560, 624, 584, 594, 594 (b), 315, 315 (a), 315 (c), 47 (a), 47 (d), 47 (e), 47 (g), 464, 464 (a), 613 (d), 615 (a)

and 615 (g) and for deletion of the name of respondent nos. 19 (j), 321 (a), 322 (a), 380 (j), 380 (k), 380 (l), 381 (j), 381 (k), 381 (l), 382 (j), 382 (k) and 382 (l) who died during pendency of the present appeal.

10. The learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the present application for substitution has been filed after it has been brought to the knowledge of the appellant about the death of the aforesaid respondents. Prior to that they had no knowledge of the death of the persons who are sought to be substituted/deleted. He has also submitted that these respondents have also been substituted/deleted in their corresponding appeals which have been tagged along with this appeal. The learned counsel has further submitted that the substitution/deletion be allowed.

11. Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate submits that he has received and filed *Vakalatnama* on behalf of many of the proposed legal heirs and successors and has received instructions from all the proposed legal heirs and successors. He undertakes to file *Vakalatnama* within a period of 10 days from today. He has also submitted that he has no objection so far as prayer for substitution/deletion is concerned.

12. Considering the aforesaid submission, ***I.A. No.14553 of 2025*** is hereby allowed.

13. The learned counsel for the appellant undertakes to file a fresh cause-title taking into account the substitution/deletion carried out in compliance of various orders of this Court.

F.A. No. 367 of 2018 and analogous cases

14. Learned counsel for the respondents in F.A. No. 367 of 2018 has filed *Vakalatnama* in the Registry in connection with many of the respondents. However, he submits that a few *Vakalatnamas* are yet required to be filed. He submits that the needful will be done within a period of 10 days from today.

15. Post this case on 18th November 2025 in the supplementary cause-list to be taken up as the first case at 10:30 a.m.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)