

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 367 of 2018

Union of India	...	...	Appellant
	Versus		
Virendra Mahto and Anr.	...	...	Respondents
With			

F.A. No. 192 of 2014; F.A. No. 193 of 2014; F.A. No. 194 of 2014; F.A. No. 195 of 2014; F.A. No. 196 of 2014; F.A. No. 197 of 2014; F.A. No. 198 of 2014; F.A. No. 199 of 2014; F.A. No. 200 of 2014; F.A. No. 201 of 2014; F.A. No. 202 of 2014; F.A. No. 203 of 2014; F.A. No. 204 of 2014; F.A. No. 205 of 2014; F.A. No. 206 of 2014; F.A. No. 207 of 2014; F.A. No. 208 of 2014; F.A. No. 209 of 2014; F.A. No. 210 of 2014; F.A. No. 211 of 2014; F.A. No. 212 of 2014; F.A. No. 213 of 2014; F.A. No. 214 of 2014; F.A. No. 215 of 2014; F.A. No. 216 of 2014; F.A. No. 217 of 2014; F.A. No. 218 of 2014; F.A. No. 219 of 2014; F.A. No. 220 of 2014; F.A. No. 221 of 2014; F.A. No. 222 of 2014; F.A. No. 223 of 2014; F.A. No. 224 of 2014; F.A. No. 225 of 2014; F.A. No. 226 of 2014; F.A. No. 227 of 2014; F.A. No. 228 of 2014; F.A. No. 229 of 2014; F.A. No. 230 of 2014; F.A. No. 231 of 2014; F.A. No. 232 of 2014; F.A. No. 233 of 2014; F.A. No. 234 of 2014; F.A. No. 235 of 2014; F.A. No. 236 of 2014; F.A. No. 237 of 2014; F.A. No. 238 of 2014; F.A. No. 239 of 2014; F.A. No. 240 of 2014; F.A. No. 241 of 2014; F.A. No. 242 of 2014; F.A. No. 243 of 2014; F.A. No. 244 of 2014; F.A. No. 245 of 2014; F.A. No. 246 of 2014; F.A. No. 247 of 2014; F.A. No. 248 of 2014; F.A. No. 249 of 2014; F.A. No. 250 of 2014; F.A. No. 251 of 2014; F.A. No. 252 of 2014; F.A. No. 253 of 2014; F.A. No. 254 of 2014; F.A. No. 255 of 2014; F.A. No. 256 of 2014; F.A. No. 257 of 2014; F.A. No. 258 of 2014; F.A. No. 259 of 2014; F.A. No. 260 of 2014; F.A. No. 261 of 2014; F.A. No. 262 of 2014; F.A. No. 263 of 2014; F.A. No. 264 of 2014; F.A. No. 265 of 2014; F.A. No. 266 of 2014; F.A. No. 267 of 2014; F.A. No. 268 of 2014; F.A. No. 269 of 2014; F.A. No. 270 of 2014; F.A. No. 271 of 2014; F.A. No. 272 of 2014; F.A. No. 273 of 2014; F.A. No. 274 of 2014; F.A. No. 275 of 2014; F.A. No. 276 of 2014; F.A. No. 277 of 2014; F.A. No. 278 of 2014; F.A. No. 279 of 2014; F.A. No. 280 of 2014; F.A. No. 281 of 2014; F.A. No. 282 of 2014; F.A. No. 283 of 2014; F.A. No. 284 of 2014; F.A. No. 285 of 2014; F.A. No. 286 of 2014; F.A. No. 287 of 2014; F.A. No. 288 of 2014; F.A. No. 289 of 2014; F.A. No. 290 of 2014; F.A. No. 291 of 2014; F.A. No. 292 of 2014; F.A. No. 293 of 2014; F.A. No. 294 of 2014; F.A. No. 295 of 2014; F.A. No. 296 of 2014; F.A. No. 297 of 2014; F.A. No. 298 of 2014; F.A. No. 299 of 2014; F.A. No. 300 of 2014; F.A. No. 301 of 2014; F.A. No. 302 of 2014; F.A. No. 303 of 2014; F.A. No. 304 of 2014; F.A. No. 305 of 2014; F.A. No. 306 of 2014; F.A. No. 307 of 2014; F.A. No. 308 of 2014; F.A. No. 309 of 2014; F.A. No. 310 of 2014; F.A. No. 311 of 2014; F.A. No. 313 of 2014; F.A. No. 314 of 2014; F.A. No. 315 of 2014;

F.A. No. 471 of 2014; F.A. No. 472 of 2014; F.A. No. 473 of 2014;
F.A. No. 474 of 2014; F.A. No. 475 of 2014; F.A. No. 476 of 2014;
F.A. No. 477 of 2014; F.A. No. 478 of 2014; F.A. No. 479 of 2014;
F.A. No. 480 of 2014; F.A. No. 481 of 2014; F.A. No. 482 of 2014;
F.A. No. 483 of 2014; F.A. No. 484 of 2014; F.A. No. 485 of 2014;
F.A. No. 486 of 2014; F.A. No. 487 of 2014; F.A. No. 488 of 2014;
F.A. No. 489 of 2014; F.A. No. 490 of 2014; F.A. No. 491 of 2014;
F.A. No. 492 of 2014; F.A. No. 493 of 2014; F.A. No. 494 of 2014;
F.A. No. 495 of 2014; F.A. No. 496 of 2014; F.A. No. 497 of 2014;
F.A. No. 498 of 2014; F.A. No. 499 of 2014; F.A. No. 500 of 2014;
F.A. No. 501 of 2014; F.A. No. 502 of 2014; F.A. No. 503 of 2014;
F.A. No. 504 of 2014; F.A. No. 505 of 2014; F.A. No. 506 of 2014;
F.A. No. 507 of 2014; F.A. No. 508 of 2014; F.A. No. 509 of 2014;
F.A. No. 510 of 2014; F.A. No. 511 of 2014; F.A. No. 512 of 2014;
F.A. No. 513 of 2014; F.A. No. 514 of 2014; F.A. No. 515 of 2014;
F.A. No. 516 of 2014; F.A. No. 517 of 2014; F.A. No. 518 of 2014;
F.A. No. 519 of 2014; F.A. No. 520 of 2014; F.A. No. 521 of 2014;
F.A. No. 522 of 2014; F.A. No. 523 of 2014; F.A. No. 524 of 2014;
F.A. No. 525 of 2014; F.A. No. 526 of 2014; F.A. No. 527 of 2014;
F.A. No. 528 of 2014; F.A. No. 529 of 2014; F.A. No. 530 of 2014;
F.A. No. 531 of 2014; F.A. No. 532 of 2014; F.A. No. 533 of 2014;
F.A. No. 534 of 2014; F.A. No. 535 of 2014; F.A. No. 536 of 2014;
F.A. No. 537 of 2014; F.A. No. 538 of 2014; F.A. No. 539 of 2014;
F.A. No. 540 of 2014; F.A. No. 541 of 2014; F.A. No. 542 of 2014;
F.A. No. 543 of 2014; F.A. No. 544 of 2014; F.A. No. 545 of 2014;
F.A. No. 546 of 2014; F.A. No. 547 of 2014; F.A. No. 548 of 2014;
F.A. No. 549 of 2014; F.A. No. 550 of 2014; F.A. No. 551 of 2014;
F.A. No. 552 of 2014; F.A. No. 553 of 2014; F.A. No. 554 of 2014;
F.A. No. 555 of 2014; F.A. No. 556 of 2014; F.A. No. 557 of 2014;
F.A. No. 558 of 2014; F.A. No. 559 of 2014; F.A. No. 560 of 2014;
F.A. No. 561 of 2014; F.A. No. 562 of 2014; F.A. No. 563 of 2014;
F.A. No. 564 of 2014; F.A. No. 565 of 2014; F.A. No. 451 of 2018;
F.A. No. 455 of 2018; F.A. No. 456 of 2018; F.A. No. 459 of 2018;
F.A. No. 463 of 2018; F.A. No. 464 of 2018; F.A. No. 465 of 2018;
F.A. No. 466 of 2018; F.A. No. 467 of 2018; F.A. No. 468 of 2018;
F.A. No. 469 of 2018; F.A. No. 470 of 2018; F.A. No. 471 of 2018;
F.A. No. 472 of 2018; F.A. No. 473 of 2018; F.A. No. 474 of 2018;
F.A. No. 475 of 2018; F.A. No. 477 of 2018; F.A. No. 479 of 2018;
F.A. No. 480 of 2018; F.A. No. 482 of 2018; F.A. No. 484 of 2018;
F.A. No. 486 of 2018; F.A. No. 487 of 2018; F.A. No. 489 of 2018;
F.A. No. 491 of 2018 & F.A. No. 492 of 2018

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant-UIO : Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC
[in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For Appellants(claimants):Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. S.K. Dey, Advocate

[in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, AC to GA-I
: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh,
AC to SC (L&C)-II
: Mr. Mukesh Kr. Singh, AC to GP-III
: Mr. Kanishka Deo, AC to GP-IV

For the Resp. -UOI : Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC
[in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For the Respondents : Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. S.K. Dey, Advocate
[in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

18/26.08.2025

Learned counsel parties are present.

I.A. No. 11436 of 2025 in F.A. No. 214 of 2014

2. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with

acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11436 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

6. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11770 of 2025 in F.A. No. 220 of 2014

7. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

8. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11770 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation

of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

10. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

11. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11461 of 2025 in F.A. No. 226 of 2014

12. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

13. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

14. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11461 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

15. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

16. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11458 of 2025 in F.A. No. 244 of 2014

17. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

18. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

19. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11458 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

20. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

21. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11462 of 2025 in F.A. No. 247 of 2014

22. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 2 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 2 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 2 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

23. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

24. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11462 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

25. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

26. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11224 of 2025 in F.A. No. 249 of 2014

27. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

28. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

29. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11224 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

30. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

31. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11768 of 2025 in F.A. No. 273 of 2014

32. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 8, 9 and 10 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in

filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 8, 9 and 10 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2, 8, 9 and 10 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

33. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

34. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11768 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

35. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

36. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11769 of 2025 in F.A. No. 283 of 2014

37. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also

been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

38. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

39. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11769 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

40. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

41. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11411 of 2025 in F.A. No. 286 of 2014

42. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the

appellant Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

43. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

44. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11411 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

45. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

46. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11437 of 2025 in F.A. No. 289 of 2014

47. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 8 and 10 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 8 and 10 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 8 and 10 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

48. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

49. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11437 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

50. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

51. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11416 of 2025 in F.A. No. 290 of 2014

52. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

53. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted

that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

54. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11416 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

55. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

56. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11449 of 2025 in F.A. No. 291 of 2014

57. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

58. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

59. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11449 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

60. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

61. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10892 of 2025 in F.A. No. 292 of 2014

62. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

63. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

64. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10892 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

65. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

66. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11460 of 2025 in F.A. No. 296 of 2014

67. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

68. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

69. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which

has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11460 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

70. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

71. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11420 of 2025 in F.A. No. 297 of 2014

72. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No. 1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No. 1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

73. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

74. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with

acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11420 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

75. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

76. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11455 of 2025 in F.A. No. 298 of 2014

77. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

78. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

79. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11455 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

80. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

81. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11443 of 2025 in F.A. No. 299 of 2014

82. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant No.3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No.3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

83. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

84. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11443 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

85. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

86. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11453 of 2025 in F.A. No. 300 of 2014

87. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

88. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

89. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11453 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

90. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

91. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11432 of 2025 in F.A. No. 301 of 2014

92. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

93. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

94. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11432 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

95. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

96. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11459 of 2025 in F.A. No. 304 of 2014

97. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2

after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

98. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

99. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11459 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

100. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

101. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11431 of 2025 in F.A. No. 306 of 2014

102. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama*

on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

103. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

104. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11431 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

105. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

106. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11440 of 2025 in F.A. No. 308 of 2014

107. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of

legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

108. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

109. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11440 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

110. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

111. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11419 of 2025 in F.A. No. 309 of 2014

112. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

113. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

114. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11419 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

115. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

116. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11441 of 2025 in F.A. No. 316 of 2014

117. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

118. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is

enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

119. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11441 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

120. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

121. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11457 of 2025 in F.A. No. 319 of 2014

122. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

123. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not

dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

124. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11457 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

125. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

126. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10899 of 2025 in F.A. No. 322 of 2014

127. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

128. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

129. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10899 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

130. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

131. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11438 of 2025 in F.A. No. 326 of 2014

132. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

133. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

134. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11438 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

135. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

136. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11428 of 2025 in F.A. No. 327 of 2014

137. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

138. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

139. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which

has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11428 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

140. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

141. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11408 of 2025 in F.A. No. 328 of 2014

142. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the appellant no. 5 has died issueless and accordingly, his name may be permitted to be expunged/deleted from the memo of appeal.

143. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

144. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this

Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11408 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

145. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

146. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11767 of 2025 in F.A. No. 329 of 2014

147. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 17 and 20 and expunging/deletion of the name of appellant no. 19 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 17 and 20 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 17 and 20 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that appellant no. 19 has died leaving behind his only son namely, Mogro Pahan who is already on record in the memo of appeal and therefore, name of appellant no. 19 may be deleted/expunged from this memo of appeal.

148. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

149. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11767 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 12, 17 and 20 and expunging/deletion of the name of appellant no. 19 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

150. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

151. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11409 of 2025 in F.A. No. 330 of 2014

152. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the appellant no. 5 has died issueless and accordingly, his name may be permitted to be expunged/deleted from the memo of appeal.

153. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted

that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

154. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11409 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

155. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

156. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11433 of 2025 in F.A. No. 331 of 2014

157. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the appellant no. 5 has died issueless and accordingly, his name may be permitted to be expunged/deleted from the memo of appeal.

158. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

159. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11433 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

160. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

161. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11434 of 2025 in F.A. No. 334 of 2014

162. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the appellant no. 5 has died issueless and

accordingly, his name may be permitted to be expunged/deleted from the memo of appeal.

163. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

164. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11434 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

165. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

166. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11454 of 2025 in F.A. No. 335 of 2014

167. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

168. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

169. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11454 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

170. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

171. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10888 of 2025 in F.A. No. 336 of 2014

172. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

173. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted

that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

174. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10888 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

175. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

176. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11456 of 2025 in F.A. No. 339 of 2014

177. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

178. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

179. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11456 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

180. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

181. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11435 of 2025 in F.A. No. 341 of 2014

182. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the appellant no. 5 has died issueless and accordingly, his name may be permitted to be expunged/deleted from the memo of appeal.

183. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not

dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

184. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11435 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant nos. 1, 3 and 4 and expunging/deletion of name of appellant no. 5, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

185. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

186. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11210 of 2025 in F.A. No. 342 of 2014

187. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

188. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

189. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11210 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

190. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

191. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11226 of 2025 in F.A. No. 347 of 2014

192. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

193. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

194. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11226 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

195. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

196. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11216 of 2025 in F.A. No. 348 of 2014

197. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

198. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

199. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and

tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11216 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

200. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

201. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11215 of 2025 in F.A. No. 350 of 2014

202. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

203. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

204. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11215 of

2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

205. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

206. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11209 of 2025 in F.A. No. 353 of 2014

207. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 7 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 7 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 7 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

208. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

209. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11209 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

210. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

211. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10988 of 2025 in F.A. No. 356 of 2014

212. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 7 and 9 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 7 and 9 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 7 and 9 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

213. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

214. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10988 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

215. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

216. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11230 of 2025 in F.A. No. 357 of 2014

217. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 4, 6 and 7 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 4, 6 and 7 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the of appellant Nos. 4, 6 and 7 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

218. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

219. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11230 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

220. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

221. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11228 of 2025 in F.A. No. 359 of 2014

222. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

223. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

224. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11228 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

225. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

226. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11771 of 2025 in F.A. No. 362 of 2014

227. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 8

after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 8 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

228. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

229. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11771 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

230. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

231. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11424 of 2025 in F.A. No. 364 of 2014

232. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No. 2 has also

been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No. 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

233. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

234. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11424 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

235. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

236. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11207 of 2025 in F.A. No. 369 of 2014

237. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

238. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

239. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11207 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

240. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

241. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10893 of 2025 in F.A. No. 373 of 2014

242. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

243. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted

that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

244. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10893 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

245. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

246. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11213 of 2025 in F.A. No. 374 of 2014

247. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 4 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant No. 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No. 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

248. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

249. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11213 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

250. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

251. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11765 of 2025 in F.A. No. 377 of 2014

252. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 8 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

253. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

254. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11765 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

255. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

256. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10894 of 2025 in F.A. No. 379 of 2014

257. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 8 and 10 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 8 and 10 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 8 and 10 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

258. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

259. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this

Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10894 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

260. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

261. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11220 of 2025 in F.A. No. 386 of 2014

262. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

263. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

264. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted

for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11220 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

265. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

266. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10887 of 2025 in F.A. No. 398 of 2014

267. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

268. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

269. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10887 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation

of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

270. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

271. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10898 of 2025 in F.A. No. 399 of 2014

272. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant Nos. 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant Nos. 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

273. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

274. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10898 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

275. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

276. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10889 of 2025 in F.A. No. 404 of 2014

277. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

278. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

279. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10889 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

280. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

281. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10890 of 2025 in F.A. No. 406 of 2014

282. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

283. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

284. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10890 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

285. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

286. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10984 of 2025 in F.A. No. 407 of 2014

287. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 2, 12 and 14 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 2, 12 and 14 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 2, 12 and 14 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

288. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

289. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10984 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

290. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

291. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11772 of 2025 in F.A. No. 408 of 2014

292. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 8 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

293. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

294. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11772 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

295. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

296. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10891 of 2025 in F.A. No. 409 of 2014

297. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this

interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

298. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

299. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10891 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

300. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

301. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11218 of 2025 in F.A. No. 412 of 2014

302. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of

legal heir and successor of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

303. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

304. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11218 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

305. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

306. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10895 of 2025 in F.A. No. 413 of 2014

307. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

308. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is

enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

309. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10895 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

310. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

311. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11212 of 2025 in F.A. No. 414 of 2014

312. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

313. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and

the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

314. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11212 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

315. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

316. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10991 of 2025 in F.A. No. 415 of 2014

317. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

318. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

319. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10991 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

320. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

321. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10897 of 2025 in F.A. No. 418 of 2014

322. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

323. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

324. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and

tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10897 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

325. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

326. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11221 of 2025 in F.A. No. 419 of 2014

327. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 4 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 4 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 4 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

328. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

329. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11221 of

2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

330. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

331. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10896 of 2025 in F.A. No. 421 of 2014

332. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 5 and deletion of the name of appellant no.11 on account of their death after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant No. 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No. 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

333. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

334. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10896 of 2025 seeking substitution of appellant No. 5 and deletion of the name

of appellant no.11, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

335. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

336. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11427 of 2025 in F.A. No. 422 of 2014

337. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 3 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 3 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 3 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

338. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

339. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11427 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

340. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

341. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10990 of 2025 in F.A. No. 427 of 2014

342. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the legal heirs and successors of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

343. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

344. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10990 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

345. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

I.A. No. 11679 of 2025 in F.A. No. 428 of 2014

346. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the legal heirs/representatives of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

347. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

348. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11679 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

349. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

350. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11677 of 2025 in F.A. No. 451 of 2014

351. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the legal heirs/representatives of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

352. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

353. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11677 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

354. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

355. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11766 of 2025 in F.A. No. 454 of 2014

356. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 8 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 8 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

357. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

358. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11766 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

359. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

360. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11451 of 2025 in F.A. No. 458 of 2014

361. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

362. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

363. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11451 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

364. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

365. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10982 of 2025 in F.A. No. 459 of 2014

366. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 3, 4 and

5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 3, 4 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

367. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

368. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10982 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

369. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

370. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11678 of 2025 in F.A. No. 480 of 2014

371. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on

behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

372. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

373. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11678 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

374. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

375. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10986 of 2025 in F.A. No. 506 of 2014

376. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the legal heirs and successors of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

377. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is

enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

378. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10986 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

379. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

I.A. No. 10987 of 2025 in F.A. No. 513 of 2014

380. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the legal heirs and successors of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

381. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

382. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this

Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10987 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant No.1, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

383. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

384. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11676 of 2025 in F.A. No. 522 of 2014

385. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application. He further submits that the legal heirs/representatives of deceased appellant no. 1 are already on record.

386. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

387. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this

Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11676 of 2025 seeking expunging/deletion of the name of deceased appellant no. 1 and substitution of appellant Nos. 2, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

388. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

389. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10994 of 2025 in F.A. No. 527 of 2014

390. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

391. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

392. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and

tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10994 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

393. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

394. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10989 of 2025 in F.A. No. 529 of 2014

395. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

396. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

397. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10989 of

2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

398. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

399. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10992 of 2025 in F.A. No. 535 of 2014

400. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

401. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

402. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10992 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

403. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

404. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10993 of 2025 in F.A. No. 538 of 2014

405. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

406. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

407. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10993 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

408. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

409. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10995 of 2025 in F.A. No. 547 of 2014

410. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

411. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

412. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2014 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 10995 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

413. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

414. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11404 of 2025 in F.A. No. 466 of 2018

415. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

416. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

417. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2018 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11404 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

418. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

419. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11219 of 2025 in F.A. No. 471 of 2018

420. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in

filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

421. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

422. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2018 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11219 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

423. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

424. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11205 of 2025 in F.A. No. 472 of 2018

425. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heir and successor of appellant No.5 has also been filed

along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No.5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

426. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

427. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2018 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11205 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

428. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

429. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 11203 of 2025 in F.A. No. 479 of 2018

430. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

431. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent -Union of India has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is enormous delay in filing the petition for substitution. He has submitted that the explanation furnished is not proper. However, he does not dispute that the quantum of compensation has not yet crystalized and the same is pending before this Court and all these appeals have been admitted for final hearing.

432. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and particularly the fact that the appeal has remained pending before this Court since 2018 and further, the appeal of the Union of India which has been numbered as F.A. No. 367 of 2018 is also pending and tagged along with this appeal and these appeals have all been admitted for final hearing and the quantum of compensation in connection with acquisition of land is involved in the present case, I.A. No. 11203 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

433. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink prior to the next date of hearing.

434. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

F.A. No. 367 of 2018 and analogous cases

435. Post these cases on 02nd September 2025 to be taken up at 02.15 p.m.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Pankaj