

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 367 of 2018

Union of India	...	...	Appellant
	Versus		
Virendra Mahto and Anr.	...	...	Respondents
With			

F.A. No. 192 of 2014; F.A. No. 193 of 2014; F.A. No. 194 of 2014; F.A. No. 195 of 2014; F.A. No. 196 of 2014; F.A. No. 197 of 2014; F.A. No. 198 of 2014; F.A. No. 199 of 2014; F.A. No. 200 of 2014; F.A. No. 201 of 2014; F.A. No. 202 of 2014; F.A. No. 203 of 2014; F.A. No. 204 of 2014; F.A. No. 205 of 2014; F.A. No. 206 of 2014; F.A. No. 207 of 2014; F.A. No. 208 of 2014; F.A. No. 209 of 2014; F.A. No. 210 of 2014; F.A. No. 211 of 2014; F.A. No. 212 of 2014; F.A. No. 213 of 2014; F.A. No. 214 of 2014; F.A. No. 215 of 2014; F.A. No. 216 of 2014; F.A. No. 217 of 2014; F.A. No. 218 of 2014; F.A. No. 219 of 2014; F.A. No. 220 of 2014; F.A. No. 221 of 2014; F.A. No. 222 of 2014; F.A. No. 223 of 2014; F.A. No. 224 of 2014; F.A. No. 225 of 2014; F.A. No. 226 of 2014; F.A. No. 227 of 2014; F.A. No. 228 of 2014; F.A. No. 229 of 2014; F.A. No. 230 of 2014; F.A. No. 231 of 2014; F.A. No. 232 of 2014; F.A. No. 233 of 2014; F.A. No. 234 of 2014; F.A. No. 235 of 2014; F.A. No. 236 of 2014; F.A. No. 237 of 2014; F.A. No. 238 of 2014; F.A. No. 239 of 2014; F.A. No. 240 of 2014; F.A. No. 241 of 2014; F.A. No. 242 of 2014; F.A. No. 243 of 2014; F.A. No. 244 of 2014; F.A. No. 245 of 2014; F.A. No. 246 of 2014; F.A. No. 247 of 2014; F.A. No. 248 of 2014; F.A. No. 249 of 2014; F.A. No. 250 of 2014; F.A. No. 251 of 2014; F.A. No. 252 of 2014; F.A. No. 253 of 2014; F.A. No. 254 of 2014; F.A. No. 255 of 2014; F.A. No. 256 of 2014; F.A. No. 257 of 2014; F.A. No. 258 of 2014; F.A. No. 259 of 2014; F.A. No. 260 of 2014; F.A. No. 261 of 2014; F.A. No. 262 of 2014; F.A. No. 263 of 2014; F.A. No. 264 of 2014; F.A. No. 265 of 2014; F.A. No. 266 of 2014; F.A. No. 267 of 2014; F.A. No. 268 of 2014; F.A. No. 269 of 2014; F.A. No. 270 of 2014; F.A. No. 271 of 2014; F.A. No. 272 of 2014; F.A. No. 273 of 2014; F.A. No. 274 of 2014; F.A. No. 275 of 2014; F.A. No. 276 of 2014; F.A. No. 277 of 2014; F.A. No. 278 of 2014; F.A. No. 279 of 2014; F.A. No. 280 of 2014; F.A. No. 281 of 2014; F.A. No. 282 of 2014; F.A. No. 283 of 2014; F.A. No. 284 of 2014; F.A. No. 285 of 2014; F.A. No. 286 of 2014; F.A. No. 287 of 2014; F.A. No. 288 of 2014; F.A. No. 289 of 2014; F.A. No. 290 of 2014; F.A. No. 291 of 2014; F.A. No. 292 of 2014; F.A. No. 293 of 2014; F.A. No. 294 of 2014; F.A. No. 295 of 2014; F.A. No. 296 of 2014; F.A. No. 297 of 2014; F.A. No. 298 of 2014; F.A. No. 299 of 2014; F.A. No. 300 of 2014; F.A. No. 301 of 2014; F.A. No. 302 of 2014; F.A. No. 303 of 2014; F.A. No. 304 of 2014; F.A. No. 305 of 2014; F.A. No. 306 of 2014; F.A. No. 307 of 2014; F.A. No. 308 of 2014; F.A. No. 309 of 2014; F.A. No. 310 of 2014; F.A. No. 311 of 2014; F.A. No. 313 of 2014; F.A. No. 314 of 2014; F.A. No. 315 of 2014;

F.A. No. 471 of 2014; F.A. No. 472 of 2014; F.A. No. 473 of 2014;
F.A. No. 474 of 2014; F.A. No. 475 of 2014; F.A. No. 476 of 2014;
F.A. No. 477 of 2014; F.A. No. 478 of 2014; F.A. No. 479 of 2014;
F.A. No. 480 of 2014; F.A. No. 481 of 2014; F.A. No. 482 of 2014;
F.A. No. 483 of 2014; F.A. No. 484 of 2014; F.A. No. 485 of 2014;
F.A. No. 486 of 2014; F.A. No. 487 of 2014; F.A. No. 488 of 2014;
F.A. No. 489 of 2014; F.A. No. 490 of 2014; F.A. No. 491 of 2014;
F.A. No. 492 of 2014; F.A. No. 493 of 2014; F.A. No. 494 of 2014;
F.A. No. 495 of 2014; F.A. No. 496 of 2014; F.A. No. 497 of 2014;
F.A. No. 498 of 2014; F.A. No. 499 of 2014; F.A. No. 500 of 2014;
F.A. No. 501 of 2014; F.A. No. 502 of 2014; F.A. No. 503 of 2014;
F.A. No. 504 of 2014; F.A. No. 505 of 2014; F.A. No. 506 of 2014;
F.A. No. 507 of 2014; F.A. No. 508 of 2014; F.A. No. 509 of 2014;
F.A. No. 510 of 2014; F.A. No. 511 of 2014; F.A. No. 512 of 2014;
F.A. No. 513 of 2014; F.A. No. 514 of 2014; F.A. No. 515 of 2014;
F.A. No. 516 of 2014; F.A. No. 517 of 2014; F.A. No. 518 of 2014;
F.A. No. 519 of 2014; F.A. No. 520 of 2014; F.A. No. 521 of 2014;
F.A. No. 522 of 2014; F.A. No. 523 of 2014; F.A. No. 524 of 2014;
F.A. No. 525 of 2014; F.A. No. 526 of 2014; F.A. No. 527 of 2014;
F.A. No. 528 of 2014; F.A. No. 529 of 2014; F.A. No. 530 of 2014;
F.A. No. 531 of 2014; F.A. No. 532 of 2014; F.A. No. 533 of 2014;
F.A. No. 534 of 2014; F.A. No. 535 of 2014; F.A. No. 536 of 2014;
F.A. No. 537 of 2014; F.A. No. 538 of 2014; F.A. No. 539 of 2014;
F.A. No. 540 of 2014; F.A. No. 541 of 2014; F.A. No. 542 of 2014;
F.A. No. 543 of 2014; F.A. No. 544 of 2014; F.A. No. 545 of 2014;
F.A. No. 546 of 2014; F.A. No. 547 of 2014; F.A. No. 548 of 2014;
F.A. No. 549 of 2014; F.A. No. 550 of 2014; F.A. No. 551 of 2014;
F.A. No. 552 of 2014; F.A. No. 553 of 2014; F.A. No. 554 of 2014;
F.A. No. 555 of 2014; F.A. No. 556 of 2014; F.A. No. 557 of 2014;
F.A. No. 558 of 2014; F.A. No. 559 of 2014; F.A. No. 560 of 2014;
F.A. No. 561 of 2014; F.A. No. 562 of 2014; F.A. No. 563 of 2014;
F.A. No. 564 of 2014; F.A. No. 565 of 2014; F.A. No. 451 of 2018;
F.A. No. 455 of 2018; F.A. No. 456 of 2018; F.A. No. 459 of 2018;
F.A. No. 463 of 2018; F.A. No. 464 of 2018; F.A. No. 465 of 2018;
F.A. No. 466 of 2018; F.A. No. 467 of 2018; F.A. No. 468 of 2018;
F.A. No. 469 of 2018; F.A. No. 470 of 2018; F.A. No. 471 of 2018;
F.A. No. 472 of 2018; F.A. No. 473 of 2018; F.A. No. 474 of 2018;
F.A. No. 475 of 2018; F.A. No. 477 of 2018; F.A. No. 479 of 2018;
F.A. No. 480 of 2018; F.A. No. 482 of 2018; F.A. No. 484 of 2018;
F.A. No. 486 of 2018; F.A. No. 487 of 2018; F.A. No. 489 of 2018;
F.A. No. 491 of 2018 & F.A. No. 492 of 2018

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant-UIO : Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC
[in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]
For the Appellants :Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate
[in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous
cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

For the State	: Mr. Krishna Kr. Bhatt, AC to SC-I : Mr. Niraj Kr. Mishra, AC to GP-IV : Mr. Kanishka Deo, AC to GP-IV : Mr. Mukul Kr. Singh, AC to GP-III
For the Resp. -UOI	: Mr. Abhijeet Kumar Singh, CGC [in F.A. No. 192 of 2014 and analogous cases except F.A. No. 367 of 2018]
For the Respondents	: Mr. Mitul Kumar, Advocate [in F.A. No. 367 of 2018]

17/12.08.2025

Learned counsel parties are present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant in F.A. No. 367 of 2018 has submitted that pursuant to order dated 18.07.2025, he has received no instructions with regard to out of court settlement of the proposal of the claimants.

3. At this, the learned counsel for the claimants has submitted that the case has to be decided on merits.

I.A. No. 10691 of 2025 in F.A. No. 293 of 2014

4. Learned counsel for the appellants representing the claimants has submitted that this interlocutory application has been filed for substitution of the legal heirs of the deceased appellant nos. 1, 2 and 4 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the interlocutory application.

5. The learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellant no. 1 died on 01.02.2018, appellant no. 2 died on 10.12.2022 and appellant no. 4 died on 18.12.2015. The learned counsel submits that there has been delay in filing the interlocutory application, but the Union of India as well as the claimants are aggrieved by the same award and he has also submitted that the entitlement and quantification of the claim is yet to be crystalized. He submits that considering this aspect of the matter, the interlocutory application be allowed so that the appeal is ultimately decided on merits. He has also submitted that there are other appellants also on record.

6. The *Vakalatnama* on behalf of the proposed legal heirs and successors have also been filed along with the interlocutory application.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

8. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case and the fact that the award is under challenge by both the parties in this batch of cases and the matter relates to compensation arising out of land acquisition, I.A. No. 10691 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the petition for substitution is hereby allowed.

9. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to delete the name of the appellant nos. 1, 2 and 4 from the cause-title and insert the names of their legal heirs and successors in red ink during the course of the day.

10. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purpose of present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10705 of 2025 in F.A. No. 295 of 2014

11. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 3 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

12. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

13. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

14. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10705 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

15. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

16. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10709 of 2025 in F.A. No. 305 of 2014

17. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay of 123 days in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

18. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

19. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

20. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10709 of

2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

21. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

22. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10715 of 2025 in F.A. No. 311 of 2014

23. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

24. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

25. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

26. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10715 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

27. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

28. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10711 of 2025 in F.A. No. 313 of 2014

29. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No. 1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No. 1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

30. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

31. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

32. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10711 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

33. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

34. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10695 of 2025 in F.A. No. 314 of 2014

35. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama*

on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heir and successor of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

36. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

37. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

38. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10695 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

39. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

40. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10693 of 2025 in F.A. No. 315 of 2014

41. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

42. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and

condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

43. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

44. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10693 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

45. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

46. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10714 of 2025 in F.A. No. 317 of 2014

47. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

48. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

49. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

50. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10714 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

51. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

52. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10710 of 2025 in F.A. No. 318 of 2014

53. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

54. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

55. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

56. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10710 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

57. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

58. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10708 of 2025 in F.A. No. 320 of 2014

59. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No. 3 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No. 3 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No. 3 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

60. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

61. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

62. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10708 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

63. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

64. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10703 of 2025 in F.A. No. 323 of 2014

65. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

66. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

67. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

68. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10703 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

69. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

70. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10717 of 2025 in F.A. No. 324 of 2014

71. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 4 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application

and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 4 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

72. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

73. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

74. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10717 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

75. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

76. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10712 of 2025 in F.A. No. 332 of 2014

77. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 5 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 5 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

78. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and

condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

79. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

80. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10712 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

81. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

82. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10713 of 2025 in F.A. No. 333 of 2014

83. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9 and 12 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

84. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

85. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

86. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10713 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

87. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

88. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10792 of 2025 in F.A. No. 337 of 2014

89. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 6 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

90. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

91. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

92. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10792 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

93. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

94. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10679 of 2025 in F.A. No. 363 of 2014

95. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 2 and 10 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 2 and 10 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 2 and 10 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

96. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

97. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

98. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10679 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

99. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

100. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10681 of 2025 in F.A. No. 393 of 2014

101. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of the sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

102. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

103. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

104. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10681 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

105. Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

106. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10680 of 2025 in F.A. No. 410 of 2014

107. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of

legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

108. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

109. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

110. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10680 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

111. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

112. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10683 of 2025 in F.A. No. 416 of 2014

113. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of sole appellant after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the sole appellant have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

114. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

115. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

116. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10683 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

117. Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

118. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10682 of 2025 in F.A. No. 482 of 2014

119. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant No.1 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant No.1 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant No.1 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

120. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

121. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

122. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10682 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation

of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

123. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

124. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

I.A. No. 10716 of 2025 in F.A. No. 477 of 2018

125. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that this petition has been filed seeking substitution of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 after setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing this interlocutory application. He has submitted that the *Vakalatnama* on behalf of legal heirs and successors of appellant Nos. 1 and 2 has also been filed along with the interlocutory application and that the details of legal heirs and successors of the appellant Nos. 1 and 2 have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the interlocutory application.

126. Learned counsel for the respondent Union of India has no serious objection so far as substitution, setting aside abatement and condonation of delay in filing the present interlocutory application is concerned.

127. The matter arises out of land acquisition proceedings. The appellants have filed the appeal seeking enhancement of compensation and Union of India has also filed appeal challenging the quantum of compensation.

128. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of this case, I.A. No. 10716 of 2025 seeking substitution, setting aside abatement and for condonation of delay, if any, in filing the present interlocutory application, is hereby allowed.

129. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to carry out necessary correction in the cause title in red ink during the course of the day.

130. It is made clear that this substitution is only for the purposes of the present appeal and not for any other purpose.

F.A. No. 367 of 2018 and analogous cases

131. Learned counsel for the claimants has submitted that in other cases also, interlocutory applications have been filed seeking substitution which are yet to be instituted.

132. At the request of the counsel for the claimants, the matters are directed to be posted on 21st August 2025 in the supplementary cause-list to be taken up at 02.15 p.m.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Pankaj