

.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
LPA No. 225 of 2007

.....

Bharat Electronics	Appellant
	Versus	
C.C. Vermani & Others	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRENDER SINGH, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For the Appellant	: Mr. A. Allam, Senior Advocate Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, Advocate
For Resp.No.1	: Mr. V.Shivnath, Senior Advocate Mr. Tapash Kabiraj, Advocate
For Resp.nos.2to5	: J.C. to Mr.Sumeet Gadodia, Advocate

33/ 19th May, 2016
Per Virender Singh, C.J.:

Considering that the issue involved in the instant petition wherein the State Tourism Development Corporation after allotting the particular shop (shop no.1) to the respondent-writ petitioner cancelled the said allotment and reallocated the same shop to the appellant along with other three shops, it becomes necessary to hear learned counsel for the respondent-State Tourism Development Corporation.

2. Vice counsel to Mr. Sumeet Gadodia, the Advocate and the arguing counsel for the State Tourism Development Corporation states that Mr. Gadodia has gone out of station. In all fairness, he could inform the Court in advance about his being not present today atleast and not at the time when the main matter was taken up for its final consideration.

3. However, in the interest of justice, we adjourn the instant appeal for **14.07.2016**.

(Virender Singh, C.J.)

(Shree Chandrashekhar, J.)