

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3145 of 2026

Meno Devi, Aged about - 56 Years, W/O - Kariman Karmali,
Resident of Vill- Bundutola, P.O.+P.S. - Keredari, District -
Hazaribag, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Priyanshu Niles, Advocate
Mr. Rahul Dev, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Sudhir Kr. Mahto, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-18-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Keredari P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) of the B.N.S., 2023, Section 3, 4 of the Prevention of Daain (Witch) Practices Act and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed murder of Ruplal Karmali. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that admittedly, the informant has not seen the assailant who shot dead her husband. It is then submitted that only because of suspicion as earlier the petitioner along with her family members threatened the deceased to see him, the petitioner has been named in the FIR. It is then submitted that there is no scientific evidence to suggest that the alleged weapon by which the murder of deceased was committed and which recovery was led by the co-accused person, was involved in commission of the murder of the deceased. It is then submitted that the petitioner is a female. It is also submitted that charge sheet has been submitted on 02.04.2026. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody

since 30.12.2025 as mentioned in para-15 of the instant bail application. It is also submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-17 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Hazaribagh in connection with Keredari P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 **with the condition that she will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish her mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that she will not change her mobile number during the trial of the case and she will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)