

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W. P. (C) No. 5328 of 2004

Mosomat Lupsi Devi & Ors.

.... .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Ors.

.. ... Respondent(s)

.....

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

.....

For the Petitioner (s) : Mr. Nehru Mahto, Advocate

For the State : Ms. Shalini Shahdeo, AC to SC (L&C)-I

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Afaq Ahmad, Advocate

Mr. Bhaiya Vishwajeet Kumar, Advocate

.....

20/ 08.07.2025. **I.A. No.8636 of 2025.**

The aforesaid I.A. has been filed for substitution of Respondent No.4, 5(c), 5(d) & 7 who died during pendency of the instant Writ Petition.

Learned counsel for the respondent(s) do not raise serious objection to the same.

It is submitted that there has been an inordinate delay in filing of the aforesaid substitution petition and the petitioners will suffer irreparable loss, if the respondents are not substituted.

Accordingly, the prayer made in the aforesaid I.A. is allowed.

Office is directed to substitute the name of legal heirs as detailed in the aforesaid interlocutory application in the Cause Title of the Writ Petition.

Learned counsel for the Respondent Nos.5(a), (b) and 6 to 10 submits that he is in touch with the family members of the deceased/ respondents and will file vakalatnama shortly on behalf of the substituted heirs.

List this case after two weeks.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Sandeep/