

Ketra Bedia, son of Bohla Bedia	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opposite Party

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Vishal Kr. Binay, Advocate
	: Mr. Mohit Prakash, Advocate
For the Opp. Party	: Mr. Achinto Sen, APP

Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 21.08.2025 in connection with Spl. POCSO Case No.158 of 2025 arising out of Ormanjhi P.S. Case No.156 of 2025, under Section 64 (2) (f), 64 (2) (i), 64 (2) (k), 64 (2) (m), 89, 65 (1) & under Section 4 & 6 of POCSO Act and charge has been framed under Section 65 (1), 64 (2) (k) & 89 of the BNS and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, now pending in the court of learned A.J.C. IV – cum – Spl. Judge POCSO Act, Ranchi.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and in the F.I.R., it has been mentioned that the victim girl was subjected to abortion in a private clinic; however, the Investigating Officer has collected the CCTV footage and the patient entry register of the said clinic, but the footage does not support this fact.

4. The learned counsel has also submitted that the victim and her mother, who happens to be the informant of the case, have already been examined. He further submits that he is not aware as to how many witnesses are mentioned in the charge sheet.

5. The learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the victim was physically challenged and was 14 years of age and there is direct allegation against the petitioner.

6. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering the fact that the trial is in progress and there is direct

allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. However, the State is directed to ensure that the remaining witnesses, FSL Report, if any, are promptly produced before the court.

8. The learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district and also to Director, Prosecution to ensure compliance.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:15.07.2026

Saurav

Date of Uploading:15.07.2026