

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1871 of 2026

- 1. Sawan Ganjhu, son of Tetar Ganjhu, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 2. Arjun Ganjhu @ Arun Ganjhu, son of Sawan Ganjhu, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 3. Ramnath Ganjhu @ Ramnant Ganjhu, son of Bhade Ganjhu, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 4. Narayan Ganjhu @ Satnarayan Ganjhu, son of Koyali Ganjhu, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 5. Manoj Bhuiyan, son of Tribeni Bhuiyan @ Triloki Bhuiyan, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 6. Sadaf Miyan @ Sadab Ansari, son of Yusuf Miyan, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 7. Yusuf Miyan @ Yusuf Ansari @ Md. Yusuf Ansari, son of Jalaluddin Ansari, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 8. Pradeep Yadv @ Pradeep Yadav @ Pradeep Kumar Yadav, son of Surendra Yadav, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 9. Shambhu Ganjhu, son of Satan Ganjhu, resident of Village Tikda, P.O. and P.S. Lawalong, District Chatra
- 10. Kamakhya Paswan, son of Jagdish Manjhi, resident of Village & P.O. Loharsi, P.S. Pipratand, District Palamau
- 11. Ashish Paswan @ Ashish Kumar Paswan, son of Kamakhya Paswan, resident of Village & P.O. Loharsi, P.S. Pipratand, District Palamau

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sushant Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Naveen Kumar Ganjhu, A.P.P.

02/22.04.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

- 2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 64 of 2021, registered for the offence under Sections 33(1)(C) of the Indian Forest Act, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chatra.
- 3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the false allegation has been made against the petitioners of cultivation of poppy plant on the forest land. He next submits that even the land does not belong to the petitioners and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 18 of the petition.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of cultivation of poppy plant on the forest land.

5. Considering that the land belongs to the Forest Department and not belonging to the petitioners and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 18 of the petition and in that view of the matter, the petitioners, named above, are directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and the learned Court shall release the petitioners on such terms and conditions or the sureties as the learned Court may deem fit and proper.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Anit

Uploaded
24.04.2026