

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1795 of 2026

Dhananjay Kumar Mandal @ Dhananjay Kr. Mandal,
aged about 28 years, son of Late Sadanand Mandal,
Resident of Gram Bartalla, Mirzachowki, P.O. & P.S.-
Mirzachowki, District Sahebganj.

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.

2. Priti Kumar, wife of Dhananjay Kumar Mandal @
Dhananjay Kr. Mandal, daughter of Shashikant
Mandal, resident of Devari, Maheshpur, Kahalgaon,
P.O. & P.S.- Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur, State-
Bihar.

..... ... Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Agnivesh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

03/ 27.04.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Mirzachouki P.S. Case No. 68 of 2025, registered for the offence under
Sections 127(1), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2), 79, 85 and 3(5) of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition
Act, pending in the court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Sahibganj.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner happened to be the husband of the informant. He next
submits that earlier the petitioner has filed an informatory petition on
01.09.2025, which is contained in Annexure-2 of the petition and for
the same set of allegations, earlier the case was filed by the informant,
however, the same was compromised. He further submits that

thereafter the present case has been lodged by the informant. He also submits that there are general and omnibus allegations of demand of dowry, for which, the entire family members have been made accused in the case, however, the in-laws have already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the petitioner happened to be the husband of the informant.

5. Considering that the petitioner has apprehension, in view of that he has filed the informatory petition, which is contained in Annexure-2 of the petition and for the same set of allegation, earlier the case was filed by the informant, however, the same was compromised and thereafter the present case has been filed and entire family members have been made accused in the case, however, the in-laws have already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge and further petitioner happens to be the husband of the informant, in that view of the matter, the petitioner, named above, is directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and the learned Court shall release the petitioner on such terms and conditions or the sureties as the learned Court may deem fit and proper.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-27.04.2026
Amitesh/-