

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**Civil Revision No.39 of 2018**  
*(M.D., B.S.L., Bokaro Vs. Sadhu Devi & Ors.)*  
**W.P.(C) No.5745 of 2012**  
*[Bokaro Steel Plant (BSL) Vs. State of Jharkhand & Ors.]*

-----  
**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR**

-----  
For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate &  
Mr. Amitabh Prasad, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Ravi Prakash Mishra, A.C. to A.A.G.II  
Ms. Shalini Shahdeo, A.C. to S.C.(L&C)-I  
-----

**Order No.16**

**Date: 23.12.2022**

It has been reported by the office that defect no.1 is still partially existing, as the cage column in the certified copy of the impugned order is missing.

Considering the said report, let the certified copy of the order dated 8<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 passed by the Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-II, Bokaro in L.A. (Ex.) Case no.01 of 2008 be sent to the learned court below for making suitable insertion in the certified copy of the said order.

Put up these cases under the same heading on 7<sup>th</sup> February, 2023.

**I.A. No.10426 of 2022 in W.P.(C) No.5745 of 2012:**

The present interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for substitution of the respondent no.3- Panu Mahto, who died during pendency of the writ petition on 21<sup>st</sup> April, 2021, leaving behind his heirs/legal representatives, whose descriptions have been given in paragraph no.5 of the said interlocutory application.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and for the reasons stated in the present interlocutory application, let the respondent no.3 be substituted with his heirs/legal representatives whose descriptions have been given in paragraph no.5 of the present interlocutory application.

I.A. No.10426 of 2022 stands disposed of.

Necessary insertion in the cause title of the writ petition be made by the counsel for the petitioner in course of the day.

**I.A. No.1432 of 2014 in W.P.(C) No.5745 of 2012:**

The present interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking stay of the impugned order dated 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2006 passed in L.A. Appeal no.12 of 2005 and all consequential orders passed thereafter.

At the very outset, Mr. Indrajit Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is ready to deposit compensation amount as per the decree along with up to date statutory interest over the same before the learned court below subject to final outcome of the writ petition as well as the connected civil revision preferred by the petitioner, as it apprehends that a writ of attachment may be issued by the executing court for realization of the compensation amount as per the decree.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and on prima facie consideration of the issue involved in the present matters, if the petitioner deposits the compensation amount as per the decree of the original court along with up to date interest (as per calculation made by the executing court) by 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2023 in the executing court, further proceeding of L.A. Execution Case no.01 of 2008 shall be kept in abeyance. The executing court shall ensure the said amount deposited by the petitioner is kept in an interest bearing account of any nationalized bank. The said deposit shall be subject to outcome of the present writ petition and Civil Revision no.39 of 2018.

I.A. No.1432 of 2014 stands disposed of.

**(Rajesh Shankar, J.)**

*Sanjay/*