

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

C.R. No. 39 of 2018

(M.D., B.S.L., Bokaro Vs. Sadhu Devi & Ors.)

with

W.P.(C). No. 5745 of 2012

(Bokaro Steel Plant Vs. State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

CORAM: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S. N. PATHAK

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bibhash Sinha, Advocate

For Opp. Parties : Mr. Sachin Kumar, AAG-II

I.A. No. 4468 of 2023 (in C.R. No. 39 of 2018)

19/01.09.2023 Instant interlocutory application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act to condone the delay of 35 days in filing the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that delay was neither intentional nor deliberate, rather, it happened due to compelling circumstances and as such, the delay in filing the instant petition may be condoned.

Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has no objection to the same.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and on being satisfied with the grounds shown at paras-5 to 9 of the instant Interlocutory Application, the delay of 35 days in filing the instant Revision Petition is hereby condoned.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 4468 of 2023 is hereby allowed.

C.R. No. 39 of 2018 with W.P.(C). No. 5745 of 2012

List both the cases after four weeks.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)