

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 2520 of 2026

Ujjwal Kr. Bit, S/o Late Panchanan Bit, R/o vill.- Sunderpur, PO-
Hiranpur, PS-Hiranpur, Dist-Pakur, Jharkhand.

... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Deputy Commissioner Pakur, PO + PS & Dist-Pakur.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer Pakur, PO + PS & Dist-Pakur.
4. The District Land Collector Officer Pakur, PO + PS & Dist-Pakur.
5. The Divisional Officer, Pakur, PO + PS & Dist-Pakur.
6. The Circle Officer, Hiranpur, PO + PS- Hiranpur, Dist-Pakur.
7. The Circle Inspector, Hiranpur, Mouza-Sundarpur, PO + PS- Hiranpur, Dist-Pakur.
8. The Gram Pradhan, Sundarpur, Mouza-Sundarpur, PO + PS- Hiranpur, Dist-Pakur.
9. Subodh Kumar Das, S/o Late Harimohan Das.
10. Meera Devi, W/o Late Uttam Das.
11. Abhijeet Das, S/o Late Uttam Das.
12. Kanchan Das, S/o Late Uttam Das, all the respondents No.9 to 12 resident of R/o-Village -Sundarpur, PO + PS- Hiranpur, Dist-Pakur.

... ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Din Dayal Saha, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Devesh Krishna, SC Mines-III
Mr. Faisal Allam, AC to SC Mines-III

02/ 17th April, 2026

1. Heard the parties.
2. In this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

"1. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s), or a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the Genealogical table issued by the Circle Officer Hiranpur, dated 12.12.2024 (Anx-12) relates to recorded tenants namely Ganga Prasad Bit, of Mouza-Sundarpur, wherein the name of private respondent No.9 to 12 Ban Bihari Bit, recorded tenant of Khata No.-11, Plot No.367, 371, 137 through private respondent No.9 to 12 have no way related with the recorded tenants Ganga Prasad Bit, and the petitioner is the legal heirs and successor being grand-son of recorded tenant."

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the name of the private respondent has been wrongly entered in the genealogical table which has been prepared by the Circle Officer. The petitioner claims that he has already filed a complaint.

4. The learned counsel for the respondents-State by referring to Annexure-7 submits that the proceeding has already been initiated.

5. Considering the fact that a proceeding has already been initiated on the complaint of the petitioner. It is expected that the petitioner should pursue the same. This Court by exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution, cannot declare any genealogy to be incorrect nor can confirm the same, as the same involves question of facts, which needs to be established by evidence. Thus, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition. This writ petition stands **disposed of**.

6. The petitioner is at liberty to pursue his remedy before the appropriate forum.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

17.04.2026
S.K.D/CP-2

Uploaded on 18.04.2026