

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3385 of 2025

Mozahid Ansari @ Mujahid Ansari, aged about 22 years, S/o-
Rafique Mian, R/o Village- Mattar, P.O.+P.S.- Karmatar, District-
Jamtara.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Spl.P.P

Order No.03 Dated-11-07-2025

Heard the parties.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with a prayer for bail. Earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 17.12.2024 passed in B.A. No.7976 of 2024.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Jamtara (Cyber Crime) P.S. Case No.44 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 111(2)(ii)/317(2)/317(4)/319(2)/318(4)/338/336(3)/340(2)/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 66B/66C/66D of I.T. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was involved in cyber crime and he has defrauded the victims Arshad Ahmad, Durgam Sanjhita, Madashu Bikashapathi and Pittalla Raju to the tune of Rs.64,000/-, Rs.32,000/-, 12,100/- and 50,000/- respectively. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is also submitted that two mobile phones were recovered from the possession of the petitioner. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 20.07.2024 as is evident from para-22 of the instant bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to transfer Rs.64,000/-, Rs.32,000/-, 12,100/- and 50,000/- to the Bank Account of Arshad Ahmad, Durgam Sanjhita, Madashu Bikashapathi and Pittalla Raju respectively without prejudice to his defence in this case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner also undertakes to co-

operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on showing proof of transfer of Rs.64,000/-, Rs.32,000/-, 12,100/- and 50,000/- to the Bank Account of Arshad Ahmad, Durgam Sanjhita, Madashu Bikashapathi and Pittalla Raju respectively without prejudice to his defence in this case and on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Spl. Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara in connection with Jamtara (Cyber Crime) P.S. Case No.44 of 2024 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)