

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Civil Review No. 36 of 2024

Rajeev Kumar, son of Sri Akhilesh Kumar Mishra, resident of Flat No. 107, Kush Apartment, Road No.2, Hawaii Nagar, Ranchi, PO Hatia, PS Jagarnathpur, District Ranchi (PIN 834004) Jharkhand. At present resident of C/o Amrendra Kumar, Shyama Sadan, PO, GPO Kamre, PS Pandra, District Ranchi.

... Petitioner

Versus

Alka Kumari, wife of Shri Rajeev Kumar, daughter of Shri Uma Shankar Ojha, resident of Flat No. 107, Kush Apartment, Road No.2, Hawaii Nagar, Ranchi, PO Hatia, PS Jagarnathpur, District Ranchi (PIN 834004) Jharkhand. At present, resident of Ward No.11, Naya Tola, Near High School, Natkatiaganj, PS Shikarpur, PO Narkatiaganj, District West Champaran, PIN 845455 (Bihar).

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Atanu Banerjee, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Badal Vishal, Advocate

07/27.01.2026

Heard Mr. Atanu Banerjee, the learned counsel for the review petitioner and Mr. Badal Vishal, the learned counsel for the respondent.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for review of the order dated 20.12.2023 passed in F.A. No. 393 of 2018.

It has been submitted by Mr. Atanu Banerjee, the learned counsel for the review petitioner, while referring to paragraph-16 of the order under review, that the question of cruelty and desertion have not been appropriately considered and various facets of the case have not been taken note of while allowing F.A. No. 393 of 2018.

Mr. Badal Vishal, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent herein, has submitted that the issue of cruelty as well as desertion has been appropriately considered.

What appears from the submission of the learned counsel for the review petitioner is that according to him some facets with respect to cruelty and desertion have not been considered by us but at the same time it must be borne in mind that there has to be an error apparent on the face of the record to consider an application for review. There being no error apparent on the face of the record, which could be substantiated by the learned counsel for the review petitioner, we are not inclined to

entertain this application which accordingly stands dismissed.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(DEEPAK ROSHAN, J.)

27.01.2026

S.B.

Uploaded on 30.01.2026