

(2026:JHHC:19833)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3301 of 2026

Deogan Kharwar @ Deogan Kherwar @ Prakash Kharwar, aged about 36 years, son of Baalrup Kharwar @ Yogendra Kharwar @ Balroop Kherwar, resident of Village-Redma, Kaminagar, P.O. & P.S.-Chhau Muhana Chowk 1 No., Daltonganj, District-Palamau (Jharkhand).

				Petitioner
	Versus			
The State of Jharkhand				Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Azeemuddin, Addl.P.P

Order No.05 Dated- 06-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No.100202 of 2018 arising out of Ratu P.S. Case No.157 of 2012 registered for the offences punishable under sections 302, 201 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed murder of the husband of the informant namely Binod Rawani. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted by drawing attention of this Court to the Annexure-A series of the supplementary affidavit dated 17.06.2026 which is the copy of the certified copy of the deposition of the PW-1 & 2 out of whom PW-1 is the informant and the eye witness of the case but neither of them have supported the case of the prosecution and have been declared hostile and though the prosecution put leading questions to them, still they did not support the case of the prosecution. It is then submitted that the final form was submitted against the petitioner, but the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused under Section 319 Cr.P.C. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 10.03.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no.13 of the bail application. It is further

submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned AJC-VII-cum-Special Judge, CBI AHD, Ranchi in connection with S.T. Case No.100202 of 2018 arising out of Ratu P.S. Case No.157 of 2012 **with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

06/07/2026

Abhiraj/