

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

S.A. No. 72 of 2025

Pawan Kumar Jaiswal ... Appellant
Versus
Smt. Krishna Sinha ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Rahul Kr. Gupta, Advocate
: Mr. Parth Jalan, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Shashank Shekhar, Advocate

14/06.01.2026

The learned counsels for the parties are present.

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that vide order dated 10.09.2025, an error has been crept up in substantial question of law no.(i) inasmuch as in the first line, the word “reversing” has been used, although it ought to have been “confirming”.

3. Accordingly, the substantial questions of law be read as under:

“(i) Whether the learned 1st Appellate Court while confirming the judgment was justified in refusing to consider Exhibit-E (the agreement of sale dated 30.10.2008) on the ground that it was an un-registered agreement?”

“(ii) Whether the appellant ceased to be a tenant after the agreement of sale dated 30.10.2008?”

4. This matter was mentioned by the learned counsel for the appellant to be taken up out of turn. The learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that there is urgency in the matter as writ for delivery of possession is schedule to be issued tomorrow.

5. The learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the matter arises out of landlord tenant relationship and he is fully ready with the case.

6. Consequently, the hearing of this case has commenced with the consent of the parties.

7. As the Court's time is over, post this case for further hearing tomorrow i.e. on 07.01.2026 to be taken up as a first case at 10.30 a.m.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

06.01.2026

Saurav/