

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2019 of 2026

1. Ashim Raza, aged about 69 years

2. Babujan, aged about 58 years,

Both are S/o Kalimuddin, resident of village – Chaikhurd, PO –
Dadpur, PS – Chouparan, District – Hazaribag, Jharkhand

.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners :- Mr. Avishek Chandra, Advocate

:- Mr. Jay Shankar Tiwary, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Naveen Kr. Ganjhu, Advocate

For the Informant :- Mr. Avilash Kumar, Advocate

02/04.05.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, learned
counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel appearing for
the Informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection
with Chauparan P.S. Case No.163 of 2025 for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2),
117(2), 109, 324(4), 352 and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class,
Hazaribag.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that
the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further
submits that false allegations are there against the petitioners of

assault. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and Informant jointly opposed the prayer and submits that petitioner No.1 is having four criminal antecedents and petitioner No.2 is having three criminal antecedents.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the Informant submits that apart from that other criminal antecedents are also there.

6. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that allegations are there of molesting the informant and the petitioners are also having criminal antecedents and the witnesses have also supported the case in the case diary and that has come in the order of learned Sessions Judge.

7. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 04.05.2026
Sangam/*