

(2026:JHHC:12835)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 2907 of 2026

Khubi Pandit @ Khublal Pandit, aged about 32 years, son of
Rameshwar Pandit, resident of village - + PO - Dhartisaran, PS -
Bengabad, District- Giridih, Jharkhand. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Mahesh Tiwari, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Bishambhar Shastri, Addl. P.P.
	: Mr. Arwind Kumar, Advocate

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 03, Dated:- 30th April, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Bengabad P.S. Case No. 35 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 379, 452, 427, 354C of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the assembly, attempted to murder the informant and four other persons. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and the informant is the aggressor party for the self-same occurrence. further, there was free fight between the parties and the petitioner has no intention to kill. It is next submitted that the victim of the occurrence namely, Rameshwar Pandit has also lodged a written report, basing upon which Bengabad P.S. Case No. 36 of 2024 has been registered and the certified copy of which has been annexed as Annexure - 2 of this bail application, therein, it has been categorically mentioned that the petitioner came to the rescue of the 23 assailants of the informant and his family members of that case and because of the same, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 33 of the bail

application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.01.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application and charge-sheet has been submitted. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of *learned C.J.M., Giridih*, in connection with *Bengabad P.S. Case No. 35 of 2024* with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)