

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
CrMP no. 2203 of 2025

Shaheen Praveen, aged 26 years, W/o Adnan Adil, D/o
Afzal Ansari, R/o village- Raja Bazar, Urdu Mazlis, P.O. +
P.S.- Bokaro Thermal, Dist.- Bokaro ... Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Adnan Adil, aged about 31 years, S/o Md. Salahuddin,
R/o New Ramzan colony, Naya Bazar, Near Shiv Mandir,
P.O.- Dhanbad, P.S.- Bank More, Dist.- Dhanbad,
Jharkhand ... Opp. parties

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Awnish Ranjan Mishra , Adv.
For the State : Mr. Abhay Kr. Tiwari , Addl.. PP
For the O.P.No. 2 : Mr. Sahadab Ansari , Adv.

06 / 17.04.2026

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed invoking jurisdiction of this court under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 with the prayer to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, in terms of the order dated 18.02.2025 passed in ABA No. 4428 of 2024.

The brief facts of the case is that the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, was given the privilege of anticipatory bail vide the said order inter alia with the condition that he will keep and maintain the petitioner herein, who was the opp. Party no. 2 in the said ABA No. 4428 of 2024, with full dignity and honour as his lawful wife if and when she resumes conjugal life with him and he will not annoy or disturb her in any manner during the pendency of the case.

Both the petitioner and Opp. Party no. 2 herein are present in person in the court today and on being asked, the petitioner herein, submits that she is not

ready and willing to resume conjugal life with the Opp. Party no. 2 herein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein submits that after being granted bail, the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, with his brother Asif Nurani and their father appeared before the learned trial court, of course, the name of that court has not been mentioned in this petition and on being asked to the petitioner herein, who claims to be a Graduate, by the court as to which was the trial court, she also could not say as to in which court, the occurrence took place. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the father allegation against the opposite party no.2 is that she along with others threatened the petitioner herein of dire consequence, if she does not withdraw the case. It is next submitted that since the Opp. Party no. 2 herein has flouted the condition of bail, hence, it is submitted that the bail granted to the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, be cancelled.

Learned Addl. PP and learned counsel for the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, on the other hand, vehemently, opposes the prayer. Learned counsel for the Opp. Party no. 2 herein submits that the allegation against the Opp. Party no. 2 herein, is false and concocted and no such occurrence as alleged, appeared and the same can be verified from the CCTV camera recordings which are installed in the court premises, hence, it is submitted that this criminal miscellaneous petition being without any merit be dismissed.

Having heard the submissions made at the Bar and after going through the materials in the record, this Court is of the considered view that the allegations made in this petition, are apparently vague one and the petitioner herein, who is present in person in the court today, also could not make any statement, which would

inspire confidence as to exactly where the occurrence took place. Under such circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that there is no justifiable reason to cancel the bail granted to the Opp. Party no. 2 herein in terms of the order dated 18.02.2025 passed in ABA No. 4428 of 2024.

Accordingly, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition being without any merit is dismissed.

(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)

Dated 17.04.2026
Smita/-