

[2026:JHHC:20733]

THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3374 of 2026

Arvind Bhuiyan @ Arvind Kumar aged about 19 years S/o Krit Bhuiyan, Resident of Kisaini, P.S. + P.O. – Patan, District- Palamu, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prashant Kumar Rai, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen, A.P.P

Order No.04 Dated- 13-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Patan P.S. Case No. 27 of 2024 corresponding to S.T. Case no. 284 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 201, 34 of the I.P.C.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail, as the earlier bail application of the petitioner was rejected by this Court vide order dated 30.04.2025 in B.A. No. 3458 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons committed murder of Reshmi Kumari and caused disappearance of the evidence of the murder to screen themselves of the offence. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false. It is then submitted that the fresh ground is that in the meanwhile three witnesses including the informant have been examined, P.W.1 and P.W.2 are the mother and father of the deceased respectively but they are not the eye-witness to the occurrence. P.W. 3 Narayan Bhuiyan is a post-occurrence witness, he has also not supported the case of the prosecution even though, he was put leading questions by the prosecution, still he did not support the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he

will co-operate with the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case. It is lastly submitted petitioner has no criminal antecedents as mentioned in para 24 and has been in custody since 26.02.2024 as mentioned in para-23 of the bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ASJ, VIII, Palamau in connection with Patan P.S. Case No. 27 of 2024 corresponding to S.T. Case no. 284 of 2024 with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

13/07/2026

Amar/