

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1788 of 2026

Mrinmay Majumdar, Aged about 45 years, Son of
Chittaranjan Majumdar, Resident of: N.S. Colony,
Sahibganj, Post Office- Sahibganj, Police Station
Sahibganj, District Sahibganj, Jharkhand.

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mrs. Seema Kumari Singh, Advocate.

04/ 16.06.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P for the
State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Sahibganj (T) P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025, registered for the offence under
Sections 140(3) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the
court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
there is matrimonial dispute between the informant and her husband
namely Chiranjiv Majumdar. He next submits that the dispute is also there
for the child between the informant and her husband, as the husband of
the informant has taken his son Aayushman, who is aged about 13 years,
for that the FIR has been lodged alleging to be the case of kidnapping. He
further submits that the petitioner happened to be the uncle of the said
boy. He draws the attention of the court to the letter annexed with the FIR
and submits that the boy namely Aayushman has himself stated that he
wants to reside with his father for sometimes. On these grounds, he
submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State and learned counsel

appearing for the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit that the allegation is there of forcefully taking away the son of the informant by the father of the said boy from the custody of the informant. Learned counsel appearing for the informant further adds that the petitioner has not replied to the notice issued under Section 35(3) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and in fact his involvement is also there in taking away the son of the informant. On these grounds, they submit that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. The letter, which is the part of the FIR of Aayushman, who is the son of the informant, clearly suggests that the said boy is aged about 13 years and has stated that he wants to reside with his father for sometimes and further there is matrimonial dispute between the informant and her husband and the petitioner happened to be the uncle of the said boy and also there is no specific allegation against this petitioner.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, above named.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner, named above, is directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of his surrender / arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj, in connection with Sahibganj (T) P.S. Case No. 56 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-16.06.2026
Amitesh/-