

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No.369 of 2025

....
Suresh Yadav S/o Ramruchi Yadav, aged about 32 years resident of village-
Gando, P.O. & P.S. Jamua, Dist.-Giridih Appellant
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Respondent

....
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellant	: Mr. R.S.Mazumdar, Sr. Adv.
	: Mr. L.C.Roy, Adv.
For the State	: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.
For the Informant	: Mr. A.K.Sahani, Adv.
	: Mr. Ajit Kumar, Adv.

....
08/04.05.2026

I.A. No.3499 of 2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The instant interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the appellant for suspension of sentence and grant of ad interim bail, during pendency of the present appeal.
3. The present appeal has been preferred against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 28.02.2025, passed by the court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-IX, Giridih in connection with S.T. Case No.201 of 2015 arising out of Jamua P.S. Case No.78 of 2015, whereby the appellant has been convicted for the offence under Sections 304 Part-I, 323 and 324 of IPC and sentenced to undergo R.I. for seven years with a fine of Rs.5,000/- and in default of payment of fine, further sentenced to undergo S.I. for six months for the offence under Section 304 Part-I of IPC, further sentenced to R.I. for one year with a fine of Rs.500/- and in default of payment of fine, further sentenced to undergo S.I. for one months for the offence under Section 323 of IPC. Further sentenced to R.I. for two years with a fine of Rs.1,000/- and in default of payment of fine, further sentenced to undergo S.I. for two months for the offence under Section 324 of IPC. All the sentences were directed to be run concurrently.
4. Learned senior counsel for the appellant has submitted that although the appellant has been charged under Sections 147, 148, 302/149, 307/149, 325/149, 324/149, 323/149 and 504 of IPC but he has been convicted under Sections 304 Part-I, 323 and 324 of IPC. Further, the appellant has remained in

custody for about 01 year and 11 months. On this ground, prayer for suspension of sentence has been made.

5. Learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

6. Considering the factual matrix and the period of custody, I am inclined to suspend the sentence and enlarge the appellant on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-IX, Giridih in connection with S.T. Case No.201 of 2015 arising out of Jamua P.S. Case No.78 of 2015, subject to the condition that the appellant will remain present before the Court when the appeal is taken up for hearing, failing which his bail shall be cancelled.

7. I.A. No.3499 of 2025 stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

04.05.2026

Shahid/

Uploaded on 05.05.2026