

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P. (Cr.) No. 211 of 2025

Court on its own Motion

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... ... **Respondent**

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Hemant Kumar Shikarwar, Advocate

For the Respondent : Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, Advocate Genral

Mr. Piyush Chitresh, AC to AG

Order No. 08 : Dated 28th August, 2025

1. Reference may be made to order dated 30th July, 2025, by which the State took time to file response to the I.A. No. 6024 of 2025, which was to be filed within a period of four weeks.

2. Learned Advocate General has submitted that response to the said Interlocutory Application could not be filed and as such further four weeks' time has been sought for.

3. Mr. Hemant Shikarwar, learned counsel for the petitioner, has submitted that the mother of the deceased had submitted letter to the Hon'ble Chief Justice basis upon which the present case has been instituted.

4. Further submission has been made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that besides this the mother of the deceased has also lodged one on-line FIR on 12.03.2025 but still the same has not been instituted.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that specific prayer has been made in the Interlocutory Application to preserve the details of 'tower location'/'call dump' at the place where the alleged encounter took place. The necessity of preserving the same is that the location of the police officials at

the alleged place of encounter be clarified as to whether the encounter said to be genuine or not.

6. Upon this, learned Advocate General has sought for time to seek instruction and file response thereto.

7. As prayed by learned counsel for the State, list this case on **9th October, 2025.**

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)