

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P (Cr.) No.211 of 2025

Court on its own motion

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... ..

Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI**

For the Intervenor : Mr. Hemant Kumar Shikarwar, Advocate

For the Respondent : Mr. Piyush Chitresh, AC to AG

Order No.10/Dated: 12th November, 2025

1. Reference may be made to the order dated 28.08.2025, particularly, paragraph no.4 thereof wherein the submission was advanced by Mr. Hemant Kumar Shikarwar, the learned counsel representing the mother of the victim that in spite of the on-line FIR dated 12.03.2025 it was not instituted on the date of passing of the said order, i.e., 28.08.2025.
2. The same argument has been reiterated today by submitting that no FIR has been instituted as yet.
3. Mr. Piyush Chitresh, the learned AC to the learned Advocate General has submitted by referring paragraph no.5 of the supplementary counter-affidavit dated 08.10.2025 wherein it has been stated that the grievance by registration of on-line FIR dated 12.03.2025 has been incorporated in the ongoing investigation pertaining to CID P.S Case No.10 of 2025.
4. The said submission has seriously been objected by Mr. Hemant Kumar Shikarwar, the learned counsel appearing for the intervenor/petitioner by submitting that since the mother of the victim

has made an on-line FIR first to be instituted but the same has not been instituted as yet.

5. Upon this, the learned counsel appearing for the State has sought for two weeks' time.
6. As prayed for, list this matter on 28.11.2025.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Dated:12.11.2025
Sudhir