



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Rev. No. 359 of 2018

2018:JHHC:30987

Bishwajeet Banerjee @ Vishwajeet Banarjee Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Reena Roy Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVNEET KUMAR

For the Petitioner	: Md. Sajid Yunus, Advocate Mr. Navin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, APP
For the O.P. No.2	: Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

14/21.06.2022

Mr. Sajid Yunus, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-revisionist submits that in this Criminal Revision, IA No. 1339 of 2022 has been filed with a prayer to release the petitioner on bail by suspending the execution of the order of sentence dated 26.11.2014 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Ramgarh in T.R. No. 859 of 2014 arising out of Patratu (Bhurkunda) P.S. Case No. 109 of 2008, corresponding to G.R. No. 2020 of 2008 which was subsequently partly upheld by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Ramgarh on 27.01.2018 *vide* Cr. Appeal No. 161 of 2014 where the petitioner-revisionist was convicted for the offence punishable under Section 498-A of IPC. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has further submitted that it is a matrimonial dispute between the parties under which the petitioner has been convicted for the offence punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, subsequently, sentenced him to undergo RI for three years and fine of Rs. 2000/- under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and in default in payment of fine, further SI of 3 months has been imposed. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner has spent in jail for about 6 months at the different intermittent period.

2. It has also been pointed out by taking into consideration that it is a matrimonial dispute between husband-petitioner and wife-opposite party No.2, therefore, the matter may be referred to JHALSA, Ranchi for mediation between the parties.



3. Mr. Ajit Kumar, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party No.2 (wife) submitted that she is also ready to explore the possibilities of resolving dispute amicably in the mediation centre, JHALSA, Ranchi.

4. Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, the learned APP appearing on behalf of the State also submits that there is a matrimonial dispute and, therefore, the matter may be sent to the mediation centre JHALSA, Ranchi for settlement of their disputes.

5. Having taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances of this case, let the petitioner, namely, Bishwajeet Banerjee @ Vishwajeet Banarjee be enlarged on provisional bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousands) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Ramgarh in T.R. No. 859 of 2014, arising out of Patratu (Bhurkunda) P.S. Case No. 109 of 2008, corresponding to G.R. No. 2020 of 2008, for a period of 12 weeks from today in order to facilitate the petitioner to participate along with his representative in the mediation center JHALSA, Ranchi to resolve the dispute amicably once and for all.

6. The learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the opposite party No.2 are directed to ensure the presence of the petitioner and opposite party No.2 along with their representatives before the mediation center, JHALSA, Ranchi on 27.06.2022 at 11:00 am.

7. Accordingly, the Member Secretary, JHALSA is directed to appoint a suitable mediator in order to resolve the dispute amicably between the parties.

8. The report of the said mediation shall be placed before this Court at the earliest preferably within 12 weeks from the date of first session of mediation.

9. Put up this case on 20.09.2022.

(Navneet Kumar, J.)