

□ □ □ □

1.Md. Ibrahim, aged about 36 years, son of Zakir Miyan, resident of Village Kalyanpur, PO Bahera, PS Piparwar, District Chatra, Jharkhand

2. Mohammad Rizwan, aged about 33 years, son of Mohammad Reyasat, resident of Village Harti, PO and PS Harlee, District Hazaribagh, Jharkhand

3. Ismail Ansari, aged about 38 years, son of Jakir Miyan, resident of Village Kalyanpur, PO Bahera, PS Piparwar, District Chatra, Jharkhand
.... Petitioners

.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand through CID Opposite Party

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

— — —

For the Petitioners :- Mr. Sahil, Advocate

1

For the State :- Mr. V.K. Vashistha, Advocate

1

2/23.04.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with C.I.D. P.S. Case No.18 of 2025, arising out of Tandwa P.S. Case No.54 of 2025, registered for the offence under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471/34 of the I.P.C., pending in the Court of the learned A.J.C.-XVIII cum Special Judge, CID, Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the allegation is made against the petitioners for taking job on forged and fabricated documents. He further submits that the land in question was acquired by the CCL in the Piparwar colliery and the said land was verified by the Circle Officer, Tandwa and he has certified that the said land belongs to the ancestor of the petitioners and, thereafter, the petitioners have been appointed. He then submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case alleging that

on the forged and fabricated documents, the job has been obtained. He next submits that the co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in A.B.A. Nos.6582 of 2025, 6678 of 2025, 6647 of 2025, 7074 of 2025 and 414 of 2026.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of taking job on the forged and fabricated documents.

5. Pages 38 and 39 are the reports of the Circle Officer, Tandwa, contained in Annexure-2 Series, wherefrom, it transpires that the said land is of the ancestor of the petitioners and the name of ancestor is mentioned as Sobrati Miyan at Serial No.5 in the said report. The co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in the aforesaid A.B.As. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.J.C.-XVIII cum Special Judge, CID, Ranchi in connection with C.I.D. P.S. Case No.18 of 2025, arising out of Tandwa P.S. Case No.54 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

23.04.2026
SI/