

[2025:JHHC:10848]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.2200 of 2025

1. Jogender Mahto @ Yogendra Mahato, aged about 45 years, son of Sunil Mahato
 2. Laxman Mahto, aged about 52 years, son of Nemu Mahto
 3. Sarbeshwar Mahto @ Sarveshwar Mahto, aged about 53 years, son of Rasan Mahto
 4. Subol Chand Mahto @ Subal Chandra Mahto, aged about 42 years, son of Rosan Lal Mahto
 5. Nand Kishore Mahto @ Nand Kishor Mahto, aged about 29 years, son of Laxman Mahto
 6. Govind Kumar, aged about 29 years, son of Laxman Mahto
 7. Satrugan Mahto @ Shatrudhan Mahto, aged about 51 years, son of Nemu Mahto
 8. Roshan Mahto, aged about 91 years, son of Ramnath Mahto
- All resident of village-Gopalpur, P.O.-Chilgadda, P.S.-Jaridih, Dist.-Bokaro (Jharkhand)

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Dilip Kumar Jaiswal, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, Addl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated- 08-04-2025

Heard the parties.

Apprehending their arrest in connection with Jaridih P.S. Case No.109 of 2024 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 118(2) and 109 of BNS 2023, the petitioners have moved this Court for grant of privileges of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against

the petitioners is that the petitioners were member of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the unlawful assembly, attempted to murder Mahendra Mahto, Akhileshwar Mahto, Kailash Maho, Dev Kumar Mahto, Parikshit Mahto Sushil Mahto & Dhaniram Mahto. It is further submitted that except Mahendra Mahto remaining persons allegedly sustained simple injury. Though Mahendra Mahto allegedly sustained grievous injury, but the injury is on his hand. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that the co-accused with similar allegations have already been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 13.02.2025 passed in ABA no. 962 of 2025. It is also submitted that the petitioners undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant and their family members in any manner during the pendency of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners undertake to co-operate with the investigation of the case and to furnish sufficient security including cash security. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be given the privileges of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P appearing for the State and learned counsel for the Informant oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the informant further submits that though petitioner no.1 has stated to have assaulted on the head and left hand of Mahindra Mahto in the F.I.R but as per the injury report NCCT of head report says about a normal study and there was no external injury on the head and the injury on the hand was stated to have been caused by hard and blunt object.

Considering the submissions of learned counsels and the facts and circumstances stated above, I am inclined to grant privileges of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within six weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrendering, they will be enlarged on bail on **depositing Rs.5,000/- each as cash security** and on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Bermo at Tenughat in connection with Jaridih P.S. Case No.109 of 2024 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the investigation of the case and appear before the investigating officer as and when noticed by him and furnish their mobile number and**

photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the pendency of the case and petitioners undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant and their family members in any manner during the pendency of the case and further conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Rohit/