

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.2185 of 2025

1.Munna Mahto, son of late Sukar Mahto
 2.Tinki Kumari, wife of Pintu Kumar
 3.Pintu Kumar, son of Munna Mahto
 All are residents of village Barotand, PO Jamu, PS Markacho,
 District Koderma
... ... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1.The State of Jharkhand.
 2.Narayan Yadav, S/o late Chetan Mahto, resident of village
 Barotand, PO Jamu, PS Markacho, District Koderma
... ... Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Ms. Rashmi Kumar, Advocate.
 For the State : Ms. Anuradha Sahay, A.P.P.

03/ 08.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioners apprehending their arrest for offences registered under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code pending in the court of learned ACJM, Koderma in connection with Complaint Case No. 2018 of 2023.

3. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

4. As this case arises of a complaint there is no apprehension of arrest. Further there cannot be any custodial interrogation also. Admittedly cognizance has been taken and thereafter summons have been issued which prompted the petitioners to approach this Court seeking for anticipatory bail.

5. Considering the fact that this case arises of complaint and there is no apprehension of arrest, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **disposed of**.

6. The petitioners are directed to appear before the court concerned.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)