

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (C) No. 5887 of 2002

Deopal Singh	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand & Others	...	...	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant	: Mr. Ram Prakash Singh, Advocate
For the State	: Ms. Sweta Shukla, Advocate

29/21st January 2026

1. Learned counsel for the parties are present.
2. Learned counsel for the private respondents has submitted that the present case is covered by the judgment passed by this Court in W.P. (C) No. 5984 of 2001 dated 02.07.2025. He has referred to Annexure-4 of the writ petition annexing the order issue in notice in the said case.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present case is different, inasmuch as, the applicant seeking restoration belongs to Baraik community and the Baraik community does not come under Schedule Tribe. It is only Chik Baraik. He has referred to the khatiyani annexed as Annexure-3 to the writ petition. The learned counsel submits that as the applicant did not belong to Schedule Tribe, the petition under Section 71-A of the Chhota Nagpur Tenancy Act itself not maintainable.
4. At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, post this case for further argument tomorrow i.e. 22nd January 2026 at 10:30 A.M.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Mukul/-