

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI**  
**A.B.A. No. 1855 of 2026**

----

Jhari Pandit, aged about 47 years, son of Manu Pandit, resident of village – Saharghati, PO – Kumrabad, PS – Dumka Muffasil, District – Dumka, Jharkhnad

**.... Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

**.... Opposite Party**

----

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

---

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate

For the State :- Mrs. Mohua Palit, Advocate

----

**02/19.06.2026** Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as learned counsel appearing for the State.

**2.** The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dumka (Muffasil) P.S. Case No.06 of 2026, for the alleged offences registered under Sections 96, 351(2) and 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 4/6 of POCSO Act pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge – I, Dumka.

**3.** Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the son of this petitioner namely Sanjesh Pandit and the victim girl were in friendship and she has gone along with the son of this petitioner on her own volition. He further submits that the said girl is now residing in child welfare centre as she has refused to go along with her mother. He then submits that those allegations are there against the son of this petitioner and the petitioner has nothing to do with the said allegation. He next submits that false allegation is made against the petitioner of using unparliamentary words against the informant. On these grounds, he

submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

**4.** Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the petitioner happened to be the father of Sanjesh Pandit and he has used unparliamentary words against the informant.

**5.** Looking into the contents of the FIR it transpires that the allegation of taking the girl is there against Sanjesh Pandit, who is said to be son of this petitioner and it has been pointed out further that the girl has refused to go along with her mother and in the FIR the only allegation is made against the petitioner of using unparliamentary words, however, the allegation of taking the girl is not there against this petitioner. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**6.** Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of his surrender/arrest, the petitioner, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge – I, Dumka in connection with Dumka (Muffasil) P.S. Case No.06 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

*Dated 19.06.2026  
Sangam/*