

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**A.B.A. No. 1905 of 2026**

-----

1. Sk. Alam, son of Sk. Ayub, resident of H. No.180, Road No.5, Hayat Nagar, Dimna Basti, near Baitul Rab Masjid, P.O. and P.S. Mango, District East Singhbhum, Jharkhand
2. Sk. Akram, son of Sk. Alam, resident of H. No.180, Road No.5, Hayat Nagar, Dimna Basti, near Baitul Rab Masjid, P.O. and P.S. Mango, District East Singhbhum, Jharkhand
3. Sk. Akib, son of Sk. Alam, resident of H. No.180, Road No.5, Hayat Nagar, Dimna Basti, near Baitul Rab Masjid, P.O. and P.S. Mango, District East Singhbhum, Jharkhand .... .... .... Petitioners
- Versus
- The State of Jharkhand .... .... .... Opposite Party

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

- For the Petitioners : Mr. Zaid Imam, Advocate
- For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, A.P.P.
- For the Informant : Ms. Seema Kumari Singh, Advocate

-----

**02/29.04.2026** Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bistupur P.S. Case No. 177 of 2025, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115, 118(1), 303(2) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamshedpur.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there are general and omnibus allegation of assault and even injury is not clear and there are case and counter case between the parties. On these grounds, he submits that the anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit that the injury has been received by the informant.
5. Looking to the contents of the FIR, it transpires that there is direct allegation of assault against petitioner no.3- Sk. Akib and considering that the injury has been received by the informant, in that view of the matter, I am not inclined to grant bail to petitioner no.3- Sk. Akib.
6. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of petitioner no.3- Sk. Akib, is hereby, rejected.
7. So far petitioner nos.1 and 2 are concerned, there are general and omnibus allegation against them and there are case and counter case

between the parties and in that view of matter, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner nos.1 and 2.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner no.1- Sk. Alam, and petitioner no.2- Sk. Akram, are directed to surrender before the learned court within two weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bistupur, in connection with Bistupur P.S. Case No. 177 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

Anit

Uploaded  
30.04.2026