

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
**WP(C) No.1513 of 2023**

Md. Barik .....  
... **Petitioner(s).**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand and Others .....  
... **Respondent(s).**

**CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. K. Mishra, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Mrinal Kanti Roy, GA-I  
Mr. Abhinay Kumar, AC to GA-I  
For the Resp. Nos. 8-9: Mr. Krishanu Ray, Advocate  
Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate  
.....

**06 /16.03.2026: I.A. No. 6696 of 2024**

This interlocutory application has been filed by the respondents to accept the vakalatnama on behalf of respondent nos. 8 and 9.

2. Prayer is allowed.
3. Accordingly, this interlocutory application stands disposed of.
4. Let name of Mr. Krishanu Ray and Mr. Manish Kumar, the learned counsels appear in the cause list for the respondent nos. 8 and 9.

**I.A. No. 8148 of 2024**

5. By filing this interlocutory application the petitioner wants to amend the writ petition by adding prayer.
6. After going through this interlocutory application, I find that the prayer made by the petitioner is for settlement of Khas Mahal Land in his favor by cancelling previous settlement whereas in the main writ petition the petitioner has prayed for registration of the land in the name of the petitioner.
7. Thus, the prayer and the facts which the petitioner wants to incorporate by amendment will change the entire nature of the writ petition and the basis of the claim which the petitioner has earlier sought for, thus, I am not inclined to allow this interlocutory

application and, accordingly, the same is dismissed.

**8.** Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh writ petition on the new cause of action.

**(ANANDA SEN, J.)**

16.03.2026  
Tanuj/CP-2