

**(2026:JHHC:14174)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**B. A. No. 3921 of 2026**

Yunus Ansari, aged about 55 years, son of Ainul Ansari @ Enul Ansari, resident of village – Nalpokhar, PO & PS – Pakur Town, District – Pakur, Jharkhand. ... ..Petitioner

**Versus**

The State of Jharkhand. ... ..Opp. Party

-----

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Addl. P.P.

-----

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY**

-----

**Order No:- 04, Dated:- 08<sup>th</sup> May, 2026**

Heard the parties.

This is the second journey of the petitioner for grant of regular bail.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Pakur Town P.S. Case No. 311 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under section 305(d) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with co-accused person has committed theft in the temple and habitually dealing with stolen property. It is next submitted that the petitioner took the stolen sliver to Malda, melted it and hidden it in bushes for distribution among the accused persons and led to recovery of the same. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has been in custody since 08.04.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 13 of the bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner and submits that keeping in view the criminal antecedent and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation and the huge amount of

gold and silver articles stolen from the temple as well as the chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this court is not inclined to admit the petitioner to bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is *rejected*.

**(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)**

Dated – 08.05.2026  
Aditi