

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P (S) No. 1086 of 2020

Dablu Hazra & Anr.Petitioners
Versus
Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad & Ors.Respondents
With
W.P (S) No. 2135 of 2020

Shakti Nath Mahto & Ors.Petitioners
Versus
The Union of India & Ors.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioners : Mr. Amit Kr. Das, Advocate
Mr. Saurabh Shekhar, Advocate
Mr. Anurag Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent : Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
Mr. Parth Jalan, Advocate
Mr. Anoop Kumar Mehta, Advocate
Mr. Shubham Malviya, Advocate

21/ 24.11.2025 It appears that when the petitioner made a query under the RTI Act as to whether there is difference between married daughter and unmarried daughter so far as policy of compassionate appointment is concerned, the same was replied by the respondents that there is no difference in giving compassionate appointment to married daughter or unmarried daughter. The same thing has also been accepted in the counter-affidavit but in the subsequent paragraph they have taken somersault and said that there is no provision for giving appointment to a married daughter.

2. As such, Mr. Prashant Pallav, learned ASGI shall clarify why there is confusion.

3. List on 01.12.2025.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)