

(2026:JHHC:9581)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 2765 of 2026

1. Vikrant Narayan Singh @ Bikrant Narayan Singh @ Bikrant Kumar Singh, s/o Dhirendra Narayan Singh @ Dhiren Singh, aged about 28 years, r/o Guro, PO – Senadoni, PS & District- Giridih.
2. Sikandar Singh @ Sikandar Kr. Singh @ Sikendra Prasad Singh @ Sikandar Kumar Singh @ Sikendra Singh, s/o Lalmuni Singh @ Lalmani Singh, aged about 31 years, r/o Guro, PO – Senadoni, PS & District – Giridih.
3. Shambhu Prasad Singh @ Shambhu Singh, s/o Lalmuni Singh @ Lalmani Singh, aged about 41 years, r/o Guro, PO – Senadoni, PS & District – Giridih.
4. Krishna Prasad Singh, s/o Raj Kishore Singh, aged about 42 years, r/o Chengarbasa, PO – Bajto, PS & District- Giridih.
5. Ravindra Singh @ Ravindra Narayan Singh @ Rabindra Narayan Singh, s/o late Jagdish Narayan Singh @ Jagdish Singh, aged about 31 years, r/o Chengarbasa, PO – Bajto, PS & District- Giridih.
6. Anant Kumar Singh @ Anant Singh @ Anant Kr. Singh, s/o late Jagdish Narayan Singh @ Jagdish Singh, aged about 53 years, r/o Chengarbasa, PO – Bajto, PS & District – Giridih.
7. Dhirendra Narayan Singh @ Dhiran Singh, s/o late Jagdish Narayan Singh @ Jagdish Singh, aged about 42 years, r/o Guro, PO – Senadoni, PS – Giridih (M), District- Giridih.

... ..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.

... ..Opp. Party

For the Petitioners : Mr. Yogesh Modi, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kr. Mishra, Addl. P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 04, Dated:- 06th April, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Giridih (M) P.S. Case No. 24 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 191(2), 193(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 305, 307, 333, 324(3), 109 of the BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegations against the petitioners are that the petitioners being the members of an unlawful assembly; in prosecution of the common object of the assembly, attempted to murder of Rajesh Kumar Singh and Jamuna Mandal and there is further allegation that the petitioners have broken the almirah from where, 70,000/- cash, jewelry worth Rs. 5 lakhs were taken by the petitioners. It is further submitted that the allegation of assault is specifically against petitioner no. 7 and there is no specific allegation against the petitioner nos. 1 to 6. It is then submitted that the petitioners are the earning members of their respective families which is mentioned in detail in para no. 20 of this bail application. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false. It is then submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 01.02.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 19 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners undertake to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of *learned S.D.J.M., Giridih*, in connection with *Giridih (M) P.S. Case No. 24 of 2026*,

with the condition that the petitioners will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish their mobile number and a copy of their Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Aditi