

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 1066 of 2021

Kamal Kishor Prasad son of Late Sobran Mahto, aged about 64 years, resident of village Jinga, P.O. Mahesra, P.S. Daru, District Hazaribag. Petitioner

Versus

1. Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribag through its Vice Chancellor, P.S. Sadar, P.O. and District Hazaribag.
 2. Registrar, Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribag, P.S. Sadar, P.O. and District Hazaribag.
 3. The State of Jharkhand
 4. Director, Higher, Technical Education and Skill Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Nepal House, Doranda, P.O. and P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi.
-Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner : Mr. Afaq Ahmad, Adv.
For the Respondent : Mr. Amresh Kumar, Adv.
: Mr. Riya Raj, Adv.
: Mr. Harsh Chandra, AC to G.P.IV

08/Dated:06.04.2026

The instant application has been preferred by the petitioner for the following relief:-

(A) For quashing the letter bearing reference no.VBU/PEN/R/274/2021 dated 30.1.2021 issued by the Registrar, Vinoba Bhave University, Hazaribag (respondent no.2) whereby the petitioner has been asked to deposit Rs.1,70,000/- within 15 days, which he has received as excess payment and advance against salary during his service period and deduction of the same could not be made and further he has been informed that from the month of January 50% amount from the pension of the petitioner is with (as contained in deducted being immediate effect, Annexure-3 to this writ application)

(B) For holding and declaring that the action of the respondents asking the petitioner to deposit the aforesaid amount of Rs. 1,70,000/- and start deducting 50% amount monthly pension from the petitioner with of immediate effect the is wholly illegal, arbitrary and against the law.

(C) For direction upon the respondents to refund the amount deducted from the pension of the

petitioner and go on making payment of pension to the petitioner without making any deduction and further direct the respondents to finalise pay fixation of the petitioner in revised pay scale at the earliest and make payment of the pension of the petitioner in the revised pay scale for which the petitioner is legally entitled as per the law.

2. Mr. Afaq Ahmad, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during pendency of this writ petition, a consequential order rejecting the claim of the petitioner with regard to fixation of pension has been passed, as such he submits that the instant writ application may be disposed of by giving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid order along with prayer made in this writ application.

3. There is no objection from the respondents.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of with the liberty as prayed for. Pending I.A.s if any, also closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

April 06, 2026
 Uploaded on
 .../.../2026
 Fahim/-