

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1630 of 2026

Md. Firoj Ansari @ Firoj Ansari, aged about 22 years, son of Md. Hadish Ansari, resident of Niche Tola, Chuttu, PO – BIT, PS – Sadar (BIT Mesra O.P.), District – Ranchi, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Nikhilesh Kr. Chatterjee, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Bishwambhar Shastri, Advocate

03/17.06.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sadar (Mesra O.P.) P.S. Case No.28 of 2026 for the alleged offences registered under Sections 74, 78(1) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 12 of POCSO Act pending in the Court of learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-IV-cum-Special Judge, POCSO Act at Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the allegation of coming on the spot with Bolero vehicle and demanding mobile number of the victim girl is false. He further submits that the petitioner has not tried to forcefully take the girl on the vehicle. He then submits that co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-IV, Ranchi-cum-Special Judge, POCSO Act in A.B.P. No.361 of 2026. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly

be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of demanding the phone number of victim, who was aged about 15 years, and trying to forcefully take her on the vehicle. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. In the FIR, there is direct allegation against the petitioner of demanding phone number and he was also riding on the said Bolero vehicle and the said Bolero vehicle was also found standing after first place of occurrence at some distant place and the allegation is there against this petitioner of demanding of phone number and trying to take her on the vehicle. In paragraph No.15 of the case diary, the friend of the victim has also narrated this fact and so far anticipatory bail granted to Sahil Ansari and Jamshed Ansari by learned Sessions Judge is concerned they were granted anticipatory bail considering that allegations are there against this petitioner of demanding phone number and trying to take away the victim on the vehicle, thus the case of the petitioner is distinguishable from the case of the persons, who have been granted anticipatory bail by learned Sessions Judge.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 17.06.2026
Sangam/*