

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 1706 of 2026

Mohammad Danish Khan @ Danish Khan, aged about 33 years, son of Md. Sajjad, resident of Main Road, Near Gurudwara, Opp. Mallah Toli, P.S. Lower Bazar, District- Ranchi, Jharkhand Petitioner
Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI
For the Petitioner :Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Naveen Kumar Ganjhu, A.P.P

02/ 17.04.2026: Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Lower Bazar P.S. Case No. 282 of 2025, registered under sections 64 (2) (m), 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNS and under section 67 and 67(A) of I.T Act, pending in the Court of learned J.M, Ist Class, Ranchi.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and in the F.I.R itself it has been alleged that relationship was established three years prior to lodging of the F.I.R. He submits that what has happened that is consensual in nature and the informant was married lady. On these grounds, he submits that the petitioner may kindly be provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits that this is not a case of consensual relationship rather there is serious allegation of taking away the privacy of a lady.

5. Looking into the contents of F.I.R. it transpires that petitioner and informant were in relationship three years prior to lodging of F.I.R.. However, petitioner has made video of that relationship in the pen-drive and mobile. When the relationship was stopped by the informant, the petitioner started calling the informant from different mobile numbers and she was forced to come to establish relationship. The friendship is one of the aspect

however, taking away the dignity and privacy of a woman, is another aspect of the matter and that is crime against the woman.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and accordingly, her prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected.

Dt.17.04.2026

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

satyarthi-