

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 2455 of 2026

Uday Gond, aged about 34 years, son of Late Jitu Gond, resident of
Purani Sahibganj, Kumhar Toli, P.O. & P.S.-Sahibganj (T), District-
Sahibganj.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Mishra, A.P.P.

02/Dated: 25th March, 2026

1. The instant application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of bail in connection with Sahibganj (T) P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case no. 49 of 2026 registered under Section 111(2)(II), 111(3), 111(4) and 61(2) and under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 ad 35 of Arms Act and under Sections 25(6) and 25(7) of the Arms Amendment Act, 2019 pending in the court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj.
2. It has been contended on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case as the entire recovery is based only on police witnesses as also the petitioner has not even been apprehended from the place of occurrence.
3. It has been contended that the petitioner has been named in the FIR only on the basis of suspicion and has not confessed his guilt in the self-confessional statement.
4. It has also been contended that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner as the alleged recovery of arms has been shown from an open and accessible place, i.e., beneath a wooden plank at a public ghat which was not within the possession or conscious control of the petitioner.

5. The ground of custody has also been taken since the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 22.11.2025 and the chargesheet has already been submitted.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, on the aforesaid grounds, has prayed that the present petitioner may be released on bail.
7. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the opposite party-State has seriously opposed the prayer for grant of bail based upon the fact that the petitioner is having criminal antecedents.
8. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.
9. This Court after having heard the learned counsel for the parties and going through the allegation as levelled against the petitioner from which it is evident that save and except the recovery of arms that too not from the possession of the petitioner, no other specific allegation of committing any crime has been attributed.
10. This Court has also taken into consideration that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 22.11.2025 and chargesheet has already been submitted, as such, this Court is of the view that the present application deserves to be allowed.
11. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands allowed.
12. In consequence thereof, the petitioner named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj in connection with Sahibganj (T) P.S. Case No. 191 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall not absent himself on the date fixed without any cogent cause. In failure, the learned trial court is at liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law so that the trial be not hindered.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

*25th March, 2026
Saurabh/-*