

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3159 of 2026

Rishu Kumar, S/O Ajay Prasad Mehta
... .. Petitioner
Versus
State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Shiv Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Nawin Kr. Ganjhu, APP

- 05/17.04.2026 Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 07.10.2025 in connection with Ichak P.S. Case No. 141 of 2025 corresponding to POCSO Case No. 96 of 2025, registered under Sections 69, 351(2) and 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and section 4 of POCSO Act, now pending in the court of learned A.D.J. III-Cum- Spl. Judge POCSO Hazaribagh.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the DNA test report from FSL, Ranchi is awaited and appropriate direction is required to be made in that regard. He also submits that the investigating officer of the case has informed the petitioner about this fact. The petitioner is in custody since 07.10.2025.
4. Learned counsel for the opposite party- State, on the other hand, has opposed the prayer and has submitted that the victim has supported the allegation in her statement under section 183 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and she had also become pregnant. He submits that the victim was minor at the relevant point of time.
5. So far as DNA report is concerned, the learned counsel for the State has no instructions.
6. As per the impugned order itself, the child was born on 17.09.2025.
7. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and

considering the direct allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and hence, this bail application is rejected.

8. However, the State is directed to procure the DNA test report from FSL and forward it to the concerned court. So far as the witnesses are concerned, the same be also promptly produced before the learned court.

9. Learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Director, Prosecution and also to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district to ensure compliance.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email".

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:17.04.2026

Pankaj

Date of Uploading:17.04.2026