

[2026:JHHC:10249]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. no. 2878 of 2026

Rohit Rawani, aged about 32 years, son of Binod Rawani,
resident of Tero, Patkoriya, P.S.- Jaridih, Dist.- Bokaro
(Jharkhand) ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. party

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Anjali Kumari, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Addl.. PP

02 / 09.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with B.S. City P. S. case no. 132 of 2024 (S.T. case no. 324 of 2024) instituted under Section 302 of IPC.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail as his earlier bail application was rejected vide order dated 18.03.2025 passed in B.A. No. 99 of 2025. It is next submitted that the only fresh ground for admitting the petitioner on regular bail is that the petitioner has been custody for some more period hence, the petitioner be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the mere fact that the petitioner has remained in custody for some more time, is not a ground to admit the petitioner on bail and hence, the petitioner ought not be admitted on bail.

Considering the fact that the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner has already been rejected vide order dated 18.03.2025 passed in B.A. No. 99 of 2025 on merit, this court is of the considered view that the mere ground

that the petitioner has remained in custody for some more time, is not a ground to admit the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is again rejected for the same reasons as mentioned in the order dated 18.03.2025 passed in B.A. No. 99 of 2025.

(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)

Dated 09.04.2026
Smita/-