

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.1774 of 2026

Irfan Ansari, aged about 30 years, son of Md. Intaj Ansari @ Md. Imtiyaj Mian,
resident of Village Petari, P.O.-Chainpur, P.S.-Narayanpur, District-Jamtara,
Jharkhand

.... **Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

.... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Applicant(s)/Petitioner(s) : Mr. Prathik, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Spl.P.P.

02/Dated: 17th April, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the State.
2. The present application has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No.29 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 414, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 66(B), (C) & (D) of the Information Technology Act, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Jamtara.
3. Earlier the prayer for anticipatory bail of the applicant had been rejected on merit vide order dated 11.09.2023 passed in A.B.A. No.2936 of 2023.
4. Innocence has been claimed by the learned counsel for the applicant and undertaking has been given for co-operating with the investigating agency and participation in the trial. On the above basis, prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.
5. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
6. Every offender has its own case. Granting bail to one and another cannot be a ground for repeating the same prayer for filing second anticipatory bail application, and as such the present anticipatory bail application is hereby dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 17th April, 2026
Amar/-
Uploaded on 18.04.2026