

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1556 of 2026

1.Basant Kumar Singh, aged about 33 years, son of Kamkhya Narayan Singh, r/o RML 2/36, Rangamati, PO and PS Sindri Dist- Dhanbad, Jharkhand

2.Rohit Singh aged about 33 years, son of Nageshwar Singh, r/o DVC Colony, Chhota Domgarh, PO and PS Sindri, Dist. Dhanbad, Jharkhand

3.Amar Kumar aged about 33 years, son of Umesh Singh, A/p r/o Qtr. No.L-478 Saharpur PO and PS Sindri, Dist. Dhanbad, Jharkhand

Permanent r/o Raweich, Bakhtiyarpur, PO and PS Bakhtiyarpur, Dist. Patna, Bihar

4.Purushottam Kumar Singh @ Guddu Singh, aged about 40 years, s/o Ram Pujan Singh, r/o R.M. 4-873, Rangamati, PO and PS Sindri, Dist.Dhanbad, Jharkhand.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners :- Mr. Soumitra Baroi, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Advocate

2/13.04.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sindri PS Case No.10 of 2025, for offence registered under section 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 127(2), 109 BNS, 2023, pending in court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Dhanbad.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. According to him, there is case and counter case between the parties and in identical case, the informant and the associates have been made accused in Sindri PS Case No.11 of 2025 which has been filed by

the nephew of Pintu Singh, namely, Amrit Raj Singh. He submits in view of that, anticipatory bail may kindly be granted to the petitioners.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that the petitioners are having criminal antecedent and apart from that there is allegation of assault.

5. Petitioners are named in the FIR and the allegations are made that on 23.01.2025 at about 11 am all the accused persons including the petitioners have assaulted the son of the informant with iron rod, lathi, danda, etc causing multiple injuries. The petitioner no.1 is having four criminal antecedents and the petitioner no.2 is having one criminal antecedent and that has come in the order of the learned Sessions Judge, and in the attending facts and circumstances of the present case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, and accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is, hereby, rejected and this anticipatory bail application being A.B.A.No.1556 of 2026 is, hereby, dismissed.

6. It is made clear that if the petitioners surrender before the learned court and pray for regular bail, the same will be decided in accordance with law without prejudice to this order.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

13.04.2026
SI/